

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM

CIVIL JURISDICTION

WP (C) No. 20 of 2011

TEKENDRA SHARMA

Appellants (s)
Petitioner (s)

Versus

STATE OF SIKKIM & ORS..

Respondent (s)
Opposite party (s)

Appellant

For

Petitioner
(Advocate (s))

MR.B.SHARMA,SR.ADVOCATE WITH MR.BHOLA N.
SHARMA, MR.BIJAY RAI AND MS.SABITA SHARMA

Respondent


For

~~Opposite Party~~
(Advocate (s))

MR.J.B.PRADHAN, ADDL.ADVOCATE GENERAL WITH
MR.KARMA THINLAY, GOVT.ADVOCATE AND MR.S.K.
CHETTRI,ASSTT.GOV.T.ADVOCATE

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
		BEFORE <u>HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. P. WANGDI, JUDGE</u>
01.	19.05.11	<p>Present : Mr. B. Sharma, Sr.Advocate with Mr.Bhola N. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.</p> <p>Mr. J. B. Pradhan, Addl.Advocate General with Mr. Karma Thinlay Namgyal, Govt. Advocate and Mr. Santosh Kr. Chettri, Asstt.Govt. Advocate for the respondents.</p> <p>***</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Mr. B. Sharma, learned Senior Advocate, appearing on behalf of the petitioner, submits that the present work</p>

Case No. W.P.(C) No. 20/2011

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
		<p>which is valued at Rs. 15 crores would be executable by a contractor of Grade IA. He further submits that on enquiry from the petitioner, he is informed that he belongs to the category IIB and not eligible to bid for the contract even if the work was to be re-tendered. As such, Mr. B. Sharma seeks permission to withdraw the Writ Petition.</p> <p>The submission being fair and reasonable and also in accordance with the legal position, the permission sought for is granted and the Writ Petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  Judge <u>19.05.2011</u> </div>

Index : ~~Yes~~ / No
Internet : ~~Yes~~ / No

at