

\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3122/2010

MOHD.MOBIN & ORS. Petitioner
Through: Mr. Neeraj Shrotriya and Mr. Mahindra Dubey, Advocates

versus

NASREEN JAHAN & ORS. Respondent
Through: Mr. O.P. Saxena, APP for State
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate for complainant

AND

+ CRL.M.C. 3118/2010

MOHD.MOBIN & ORS. Petitioners
Through: Mr. Neeraj Shrotriya and Mr. Mahindra Dubey, Advocates

versus

NASREEN JAHAN & ORS. Respondent
Through: Mr. O.P. Saxena, APP for State
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate for complainant

**CORAM:
JUSTICE SHIV NARAYAN DHINGRA**

% **ORDER**
30.09.2010

These two petitions have been preferred by the petitioners for quashing of two FIRs on the basis of compromise entered between the parties.

It is an undisputed fact that the above two FIRs are result of matrimonial discord between the parties. After registration of FIR, parties have entered into the compromise and a lump sum payment was agreed to be paid to the complainant/wife by the husband. The compromise also included that an FDR of Rs.2.20 lac lying with the complainant shall be encashed by her after maturity. This FDR was given to her under orders of the court at the time of granting bail. The

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

complainant is present in the Court, being identified by her counsel, concedes to the compromise.

In view of the compromise arrived at between the parties, the present petitions are allowed and the FIR No.223 of 2005, Police Station New Ashok Nagar, under Sections 325/342/506/34 IPC and the FIR No. 222 of 2005, Police Station New Ashok Nagar, under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and all the proceedings emanating therefrom the above two FIRs are hereby quashed.

Both petitions stand disposed of.

Dasti.

SEPTEMBER 30, 2010
rd


SHIV NARAYAN DHINGRA,J