IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Dated: Nainital: the 31st day of March, 2010

Ist Bail Application No. 382 of 2010

Order on the Bail application of accused.

CRIMINAL SIDE

Amit SharmaApplicant (In jail)

Versus

State of Uttarakhand

..... Opposite Party

Arising out of Case Crime No. 227/2009 (ST No. 375/2009), U/s 302, 307, 34, 212, 120-B IPC, PS Ranipur, District Haridwar.

March 31, 2010

<u>HON'BLE DHARAM VEER, J.</u>

Mr. Vinod Sharma, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. Amit Bhatt, Addl. GA for the State.

Heard.

Learned Counsel for the accused applicant argued that the applicant is not named in the FIR and even there is no direct evidence collected by the Investigating Officer against the applicant to connect him with the said crime. He further submitted that the co-accused Ravi @ Randhir, Anil @ Vikas and Rajesh have been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 16.12.2009, 17.12.2009 and 22.12.2009 respectively and the present accused applicant is also entitled for bail on the basis of parity.

Having heard the submissions of learned Counsel for the parties; perusal of the contents of the FIR and other papers available on record; in view of the facts and circumstances of the case and without commenting upon the final merits of the case, the Court is of the view that the applicant deserves bail at this stage.

Let the applicant Amit Sharma be released on bail on his executing a personal bond and furnishing of two sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of Magistrate concerned.

Bail application is allowed accordingly.

(Dharam Veer, J.)