

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M 5004 of 2010

Date of decision: 30.4.2010

Tarsem Singh .

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab .

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARBANS LAL

Present: Mr. H.S. Rakhra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Pankaj Bhardwaj, Advocate for the complainant.

HARBANS LAL, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been moved by Tarsem Singh, under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking his anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 20 dated 26.2.2009, registered under Sections 307/324/323/34/379/411 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Moonak, District Sangrur.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 22.2.2010, passed by this Court, interim bail was granted in favour of the petitioner and in compliance therewith he has furnished the requisite bail bonds in the trial Court. He has shown the certified copy of the order dated 3.3.2010, passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur, which reveals that in compliance with the said order, the petitioner has furnished the requisite bail bonds.

The petitioner was summoned to face the trial alongwith the co-accused on being allowed an application moved by the prosecution under Section 319 of Cr.P.C.. The petitioner was found innocent during

investigation. Thus, his custodial interrogation is not required.

The interim bail order dated 22.2.2010, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

April 30, 2010

rishu

**(HARBANS LAL)
JUDGE**