

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L. NARASIMHA REDDY

Writ Petition No.26923 of 2010

ORDER:

The petitioner was appointed on contract basis, as Driver. After some time, he was removed from service on the ground of misconduct. No enquiry was conducted before the order of removal was passed. Subsequently, he came to be re-engaged on the basis of the orders passed by the appellate/revisional authority. The limited grievance of the petitioner is that while issuing orders of re-engagement, the respondents did not extend the benefit of continuity of service.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

Identical issue was dealt with by this Court in W.P.No.8090 of 2010. Through order, dated 12.04.2010, this Court held that the contractual employees, who are re-engaged on the orders of removal being set aside, are entitled for the benefit of past service.

Following the same, the writ petition is allowed and it is directed that the petitioner shall be entitled to the benefit of the service between the date of termination and the date of re-engagement.

There shall be no order as costs.

L.

REDDY, J.

Dt.29.10.2010.

GJ