

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND NINE

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA
WRIT PETITION NO : 6707 of 2009

Between:

J. Veeraiah, S/o. Lingaiah,
R/o. Budhram Village, Garla Mandal, Khammam District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

- 1 State of Andhra Pradesh, Home Department, Rep by its Prl. Secretary, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
- 2 Station House Officer, Women Police Station, Begumpet, North Zone, Secunderabad.
- 3 Regional Passport Officer, Regional Passport Office, Secunderabad.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the action of the respondents in placing the photograph and other particulars of petitioners son namely Dr. Jakkula Jaganmohan Rao in the interest in the wanted list claiming that he has committed the crimes against life and health, thefts is contrary to the contents and purport of the letter addressed by Hon'ble XIII Addl. Chief Metropolitan Magistrate (Mahila Court) Hyderabad to the 3rd respondent in Dis.No. 4122/XIII Addl.CMM/Hyd/2006, dated 8/11.11.2006 and consequently direct the respondents to remove such publication in the interest.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.N.SUBBA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following :

ORDER:-

The petitioner asserts that the 2nd respondent-Station House Officer, based on a complaint lodged by one Jakkula Jayaprada against the petitioner's son Jakkula Jaganmohan Rao, alleging that he received large sums of money from her at United Kingdom with an ulterior motive and harassed her both mental and physically, registered a case in Cr.No.17 of 2006 for the offence punishable under Section 498-A and Sections 3 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act. Further, the petitioner states that Jakkula Jayaprada filed a Criminal Petition, based on which, XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad addressed a letter to the 3rd respondent stating that non-bailable Warrant is pending against the petitioner's son, who is presently residing at Chester, United Kingdom and the police failed to execute warrant against him, and that the learned Magistrate directed the 3rd respondent to look into the matter and issue necessary instructions to the concerned for impounding his passport. Now, the petitioner's grievance is that under the guise of the instructions issued by the learned Magistrate, the respondents are placing the photograph and other particulars of his son in the internet in the wanted list alleging that he is involved in crimes against life, health and thefts.

When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned Government Pleader for Home appearing for respondent Nos.1 and 2 submitted that the nature of offences against life, health and theft, have nothing to do with the petitioner's son, and the name of the petitioner's son is removed from the category of wanted list in the internet.

In view of the specific stand taken by the learned Government Pleader for Home that the petitioner's son, Jakkula Jaganmohan Rao, has nothing to do with the alleged offences said to have been committed by

him, no further orders are necessary in this writ petition, and accordingly,
the same is closed. No costs.

N.V.RAMANA, J

21st April, 2009

bcj