

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.SURENDRA MOHAN

THURSDAY, THE 25TH FEBRUARY 2010 / 6TH PHALGUNA 1931

WP(C).No. 6259 of 2010(F)

PETITIONER(S):

1. SALINI MOL.V., THADATHARIKATHU VEEDU,
MAILAMOODU, CHULLIMANOOR, ANAD PANCHAYAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
2. SINDHU V.G., MAHESH BHAVAN,
CHERUVELI, ANAD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.R.V.SREEJITH

RESPONDENT(S):

1. REGISTRAR (GENERAL), CO-OPERATIVE
SOCIETIES, STATUE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
2. JOINT REGISTRAR (GENERAL),
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
3. CO-OPERATIVE VIGILANCE DIRECTOR,
CO-OPERATIVE TOWER, STATE CO-OPERATIVE BANK,
VELLAYAMBALAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
4. ANAD FARMERS SERVICE CO-OPERTIVE
BANK LTD.NO.919, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
ANAD P.O., NEDUMANGAD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
5. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,
ANAD FARMERS SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD. NO.919
ANAD P.O., NEDUMANGAD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
6. SMT.MAYAKUMARI, JAYA BHAVAN, ERYANAD,
PANAVOOR P.O.
7. SMT.S.SALINI, KIZHAKKUMKARA PUTHEN
VEEDU, PODIKILAM, PERAYAM, PERAYAM P.O.
8. SMT.MINI S.NAIR,
ATHIRA TOLL JUNCTION, CHULLIMANOOR P.O.,
NEDUMANGAD.

BY GP K C SANTHOSH KUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 25/02/2010, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

K.SURENDRA MOHAN, J

.....
WP(C).NO. 6259 OF 2010
.....

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2010

JUDGMENT

The petitioners are persons who had applied for appointment to the post of Peon under the fourth respondent as per a notification issued by the 5th respondent. A written test was conducted on 18.12.2001. The petitioners had also participated in the written test. According to the petitioners, respondents 4 and 5 have already selected persons for being appointed to the post and the examination conducted is only a formal one. The petitioners have submitted Ext.P5 representation to respondents 1 to 3 pointing out the irregularities and requesting for appropriate directions to see that the selection process is conducted in a just and fair manner. The case of the petitioners is that no action has been taken on Ext.P5 till date. At the same time, the 4th and 5th respondents are alleged to be taking hasty steps to appoint the persons of their choice.

2. The Government Pleader submits that second respondent can be directed to consider Ext.P5 representation and to pass orders

thereon on an early date.

3. In the above circumstances, this writ petition is disposed of directing the second respondent to consider Ext.P5 representation and to pass appropriate orders thereon, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. The selection and appointment if any made would be subject to the orders that are to be passed by the second respondent pursuant to the above direction.

K.SURENDRA MOHAN, JUDGE

lgk