

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

FRIDAY, THE 30TH JULY 2010 / 8TH SRAVANA 1932

Con.Case(C).No. 794 of 2010(S)

-----  
AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.5747/2010  
-----

PETITIONER(S):  
-----

DR.B.H.SELIN JOY,  
LECTURER IN PHYSICS DEPARTMENT,  
CHRISTIAN COLLEGE, KATTAKKADA.P.O,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.P.N.MOHANAN

RESPONDENT(S):  
-----

SMT.SHEELA THOMAS,  
(HUSBAND'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER),  
AGED 54 YEARS, RESIDING AT KOTTAYAM,  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF COLLEGIATE EDUCATION,  
KOLLAM.

GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. P. NARAYANAN.

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD  
ON 30/07/2010, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:

S. Siri Jagan, J.

Cont. Case (C) No. 794 of 2010

Dated this, the 30<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2010.

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner complains of non-compliance with Annexure A1 judgment. In Annexure A1 judgment, I directed that the salary due to the petitioner for her approved appointment shall be paid within one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of the judgment and that it would be open to the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent in the writ petition to take up the matter with the University or to challenge the approval order appropriately. The learned Government Pleader today submits that the approval has been challenged in a writ petition before this Court, viz. W.P(C) No. 20966/2010, in which, on 7-7-2010, an order of stay of approval of the petitioner's appointment has been granted.

In the above circumstances, this contempt case is closed without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to restore the contempt case to file, if, ultimately, the writ petition is dismissed or the stay is vacated, if necessary.

Sd/- S. Siri Jagan, Judge.

Tds/

[TRUE COPY]

P.S TO JUDGE.