IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

CWP(T) No.8304 of 2008

Decided on: 31.12.2010.

Asha DeviPetitioner.

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and anotherRespondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajiv Sharma, J.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner. : Ms. Ranjana Parmar ,Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. Vinod Thakur, Deputy Advocate

General with Mr. R.P.Singh,

Assistant Advocate General.

Rajiv Sharma, J. (Oral)

It is averred in the reply that there are two officials available on panel recommended by the Departmental Promotion Committee in its meeting held on 2nd July, 2001, but in view of the representation made by the applicant, the matter regarding filling up of vacant posts of Senior Assistant has been deferred till the disposal of the said representation.

2. Consequently, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the second respondent to consider and decide the representation made by the petitioner within one month from the date of production of a certified copy of this judgment by the petitioner before the second respondent. Till then, the post of Senior Assistant shall not be filled up. In case the representation

Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment?.

is decided in her favour, she shall be entitled to all the consequential benefits. No costs

(Rajiv Sharma) Judge

December 31, 2010. (hl)