

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA.**

CWP (T) No. 8207/2008

Decided on:31.12.2010

Ram Singh.

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and others.

...Respondents.

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajiv Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹ No

For the petitioner : Mr. Y.W. Chauhan, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Vinod Thakur, Dy. A.G. with Mr. R.P. Singh, Asstt. A.G.

Rajiv Sharma, Judge (oral).

Case of the petitioner, in a nutshell, is that he has not been considered for regularization in view of the operative portion of the award made in reference No. 51 of 1997 dated 16.8.2001. It is evident from the operative portion of the relief that the petitioner has been held entitled to seniority. It was incumbent upon the respondents to give benefit to the petitioner, as per award dated 16.8.2002.

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? No

2. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for regularization after taking into consideration the seniority given to the petitioner, as per operative portion of the award dated 16.8.2002, within a period of two months, after the production of certified copy of this judgment by the petitioner. No costs.

**(Rajiv Sharma),
Judge**

31.12.2010

awasthi