

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
SHIMLA**

Civil Writ Petition No.4172 of 2010.

Date of decision: 30.09.2010.

Mathru Devi

... ..Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Others

... ..Respondents

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice Dev Darshan Sud,J.

Whether approved for reporting ?¹ No.

For the Petitioner: Mr.G.R. Palsra, Advocate.

**For Respondents No.1 & 2: Mr.P.K. Sharma, Additional
Advocate General with Ms.Shubh
Mahajan, Deputy Advocate
General.**

For Respondents No.3 & 4: Mr.B.N. Mehta, Advocate.

Dev Darshan Sud,J.

The grievance of the petitioner as urged in this petition is that her services have been illegally terminated after she had regularly appointed as a mid-day-meal helper.

2. What I find from the record is that an inquiry was conducted by the Block Education Officer and, according to his report Annexure R-3, he recommends that the best possible person be selected after giving due publicity to this effect. Obviously,

¹ *Whether the reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgement?*

Yes.

this is the best way of recruitment even though the salary/honorarium paid is meager.

3. Without entering into that aspect of the matter, the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Mandi, District Mandi, will look into the matter, more especially, in terms of what has been stated in Annexures R-3 and R-4 and determine the respective rights of the parties. This petition is disposed of. All miscellaneous applications are disposed of.

September 30, 2010
(aks)

(Dev Darshan Sud)
Judge.