

30.11.2010

Present: Mr. Dilip Sharma, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Thakur, Assistant Advocate General, for the respondents.

Petitioner, while holding the elected office of Pardhan of the Panchayat, was selected as PTA teacher. She was issued appointment letter and she joined the post. She was given an option, either to resign from the elected office of Pardhan of Panchayat or from the post of PTA teacher, because the Pardhan of Panchayat could not hold any civil post. She represented that post of PTA teacher was not a civil post and, therefore, she can continue both as Pardhan and also PTA teacher. Her representation was rejected and she was removed from the office of Pardhan vide order dated 4th July, 2008, copy Annexure P-8. She filed an appeal before the Director(Panchayati Raj), the same was rejected vide order dated 9.9.2008, copy Annexure P-9. Revision filed by the petitioner was also rejected by the Secretary(Panchayati Raj) vide order dated 24.11.2008, copy Annexure P-10. Petitioner then filed the present writ petition, challenging her removal from the post of Pardhan of Panchayat.

Learned counsel, representing the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is no longer interested in pursuing the present writ petition as she has been continuing as PTA teacher and she wants to continue to work, as such.

In view of the above stated position, present petition is dismissed.

(Surjit Singh)
Judge

November 30, 2010 (vt)

