IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, DHARWAD BENCH

DATED THIS 28^{TH} DAY OF OCTOBER, 2010 BEFORE

THE HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S PATIL

WRIT PETITION NO. 65562 OF 2010 (LA-RES)

BETWEEN:

GOKULDAS S/O BALARAM SHAH AGE: 71 YEARS, OCC: BUSINESS & AGRICULTURE, R/O MUDHOL, DIST. BAGALKOT

(By Sri./: K. L. PATIL, ADV)

....PETITIONER

AND:

- 1. THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
 KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL AREA DEVELOPMENT
 BOARD, VIKAS SOUDHA,
 BANGALORE
- 2. THE SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER, KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL AREA DEVELOPMENT BOARD, DAHRWAD.
- 3. SMT. YAMANAWWA W/O HANAMAPPA JOGI, AGE: 69 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEHOLD
- 4. PUNDALIK S/O HANAMAPPA JOGI AGE: 42 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE

- 5. DUSANGAPPA S/O HANAMAPPA JOGI AGE: 40 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE
- 6. KAMAPPA S/O HANAMAPPA JOGI AGE: 36 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE
- 7. SMT. LAXMAVVA W/O YAMANAPPA PUJAR AGE: 34 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEHOLD
- 8. SMT. YALLAWWA W/O HANAMAPPA DURGANNAVAR, AGE: 32 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEHOLD
- 9. SMT. PARATEWWA W/O LAXMAPPA GONDAPPANAVAR AGE: 30 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEHOLD

 ALL ARE R/O HEBBAL, TQ. MUDHOL,
- 10. SMT. RANGAVVA W/O BALAPPA JOGI AGE: 64 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEHOLD
- 11. SMT. HANUMAVVA W/O HANAMANT AKKIMARADI AGE: 46 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEHOLD
- 12. KAMAPPA S/O BALAPPA JOGI AGE: 42 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE
- 13. VENKAPPA S/O BALAPPA JOGI AGE: 39 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE
- 14. SATYAVVA W/O RAMAPPA KAMBALI AGE: 34 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEHOLD
- 15. HANAMANT S/O BALAPPA JOGI AGE: 32 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE
- ALL ARE R/O KASABA, JAMBAGI, TQ. MUDHOL

....RESPONDENTS

3

This Writ Petition filed under Article 226 and 227 of Constitution of

India, to issue a writ of Certiorari or any other appropriate writ or direction in

the nature of write to quash the land acquisition proceedings and to direct the

Respondent No. 1 not to dispossess the petitioner from land in question.

This petition coming on for orders this day, the Court, delivered the

following;

ORDER

"Finally three weeks time is granted to comply office objections failing

which the petition shall stand dismissed without further reference to the

Bench".

SD/-JUDGE.

As per the endorsement made in the order sheet dated 03/12/2010 the

Advocate for the Petitioners has not complied with the Court Order dated

28/10/2010. Hence, this WP No.65562/2010 stands dismissed as per the

Court Order dated: 28/10/2010.

BY ORDER

Sd/-

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

T By: pak

R By:

C By: