

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 11 OF 2010

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 78 OF 2006

**MR. SHANKAR RAGHUNATH
JOG,SANCORDEM,VIA TISKA,GOA.**

... Petitioner

Versus

**THE STATE OF GOA THROUGH ITS CHIEF
SECRETARY AND 12 ORS.,**

... Respondents

Krishnendu Mukherjee, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mr.D. Pangam,
Advocate for Res. 2.

Mr.C.A. Countinho, Advocate for the R-5.

Mr. M. Sonak, Advocate for R-3 & 4.

M. S. Vahidulla, Government Advocate for R 8 & 9.

Coram:- F. M. REIS, J.

Date:- 30th July, 2010

P.C:

Heard the learned Counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Counsel for the Respondents.

2. The only grievance of the Petitioner is that despite of orders passed by this Court dated 7.6.2006 in writ petition no.78 of 2006, no inquiry has been held by the Respondent no.8 i.e the Director of Mines and Respondent no.9 Deputy Collector & SDO, Quepem..

3. Shri Vahidulla, Government Advocate appearing for the Respondent nos. 8 and 9 states that the inquiry shall be completed within a period of four months from today after issuing necessary notice to the petitioner as well as to the other respondents, as stipulated in the judgment dated 7.6.2006 passed by this Court.

4. Shri Vahidulla further states that the next date of the inquiry is fixed on 10/08/2010 at 3.p.m at the office of the Deputy Collector, Quepem. The Petitioner is given notice of the said date accordingly.

5. Shri Vahidulla also states the next date of the inquiry before the Director of Mines is fixed on 17th August,2010 at 3.p.m.. The Petitioner should act on the said information of the learned Government Advocate to remain present for the inquiry.
6. The said statement is accepted.
7. In view of the said statement, no further orders are required in this petition, at this stage.
8. The contempt petition is disposed of accordingly.

F. M. REIS, J.

ap/-