

SINGLE BENCH

①

CF 100/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

W.P. (S) No. 7477 /2010

PETITIONER : / Chitra Sen Verma, S/o. Shri
Govind Singh Verma, aged about
43 years, R/o. Mukam & Post -
Nagri, Tahsil - Nagri, Distt.
Dhamtari (C.G.)

8221/2010
Presented by 8221/2010
dated 14/12/2010

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS ✓ (1) State of Chhattisgarh through its
Secretary, Department of Forest,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

— (2) Divisional Forest Officer, Forest
Division (Samanya), Dhamtari,
Distt. Dhamtari (C.G.)

— (3) Depot Officer, office of Depot
Officer (forest), Nagri, Distt.
Dhamtari (C.G.)



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 THE CONSTITUTION OF
INDIA



XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक w.p.(S) 7477/10 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p><u>S.B.: HON'BLE JUSTICE MR. PRITINKER DIWAKER</u> <u>16-12-2010:</u></p> <p>Shri S.P.Kale, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Smita Ghai, P.L. for the State.</p> <p>Grievance of the petitioner in the present petition is that though he is entitled for his regularization on the post of Forest Guard, he has been regularized on the post of watchman.</p> <p>Shri Kale submits that the petitioner has not filed any such regularization order on the post of Watchman but the fact remains that the regularization order has already been passed.</p> <p>Counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents have completed all the formalities for passing necessary order in favour of the petitioner and therefore at this stage the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh representation before respondent No.2 and the same may be directed to be decided in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.</p> <p>Counsel for the State submits that without ascertaining the fact he cannot make any statement whether the State Government is willing to regularize the services of the petitioner on the post of Forest Guard or not. However, he has no objection if the petitioner makes a representation before the authority concerned and the same is decided by it in accordance with law.</p>	

[पीछे देखिये]



XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S) 7477/10 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>Accordingly, the petition is disposed of with a direction that in the event of any representation filed by the petitioner before respondent No.2 raising his grievances, the same is expected to be decided by the authority concerned in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.</p> <p>It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case and the authority concerned would be at liberty to decide the representation of the petitioner strictly in accordance with law after verifying the correct factual position and the statement made at the bar.</p>	<p>Sd/- Pritinker Diwaker Judge</p>

[पीछे देखिये]