CF3002
CF1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

WRIT APPEAL NO. 49 OF 2007

APPELLANTS :
1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary Department of Urban & Rural Development, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Director General, Town & Country Planning, R.D.A. Building, Near Shahstri Chowk, Raipur (C.G.)

3. The Deputy Director, Town & Country Planning, Regional Office, Durg (C.G.)

Versus

RESPONDENTS
1. Gautam Bhuddha Agrawal, S/o Shri Ramkrishna Agrawal, Aged about 54 years, R/o Village – Kumhari, Tehsil & District Durg (C.G.)

2. Municipal Council Kumhari, through its Chief Municipal Officer, Kumhari, District Durg (C.G.)

WRIT APPEAL UNDER ~~RULE~~ Section 2 SUB RULE 1 OF THE CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT ~~RULES~~ Act, 2006

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

DIVISION BENCH:
HON'BLE SHRI I.M.QUDDUSI & HON'BLE SHRI N.K. AGARWAL, JJ.

WRIT APPEAL NO.49/2007

APPELLANT   State of C.G. & Others

                        Vs

RESPONDENTS   Gautam Bhuddha Agrawal & another

Present:
Mr. M.P.S. Bhatia, Dy. Government Advocate for the appellant.
Miss Morisa Khatri, Advocate for the respondent No.1.

ORAL JUDGMENT
(Passed on 30th June, 2010)

I.M. Quddusi, J:

1. Heard.

2. The writ appellant has filed this writ appeal against the impugned order dated 9.1.2007 passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P. No.648/2006.

3. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for respondent No.1-Gautam Bhuddha Agrawal states that the property in question has been sold by the respondent No.1.

4. Learned Single Judge had given direction that the respondent No.1 herein shall make a representation to the State Government in respect of the property in question, but as the land has been sold by respondent No.1 there is no question of implementation of that direction.

5. In that view of the matter, nothing remains in the writ appeal for adjudication and accordingly, the instant writ appeal is disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
I.M. Quddusi
Judge

Sd/-
N. K. Agarwal
Judge

Roshan l-