

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR AT JAMMU

SWP No. 676/2009  
CMP No.909/2009  
c/w  
SWP No.677/2009  
CMP No.910/2009

Date of Decision: 20.05.2010

- |    |                        |     |                         |
|----|------------------------|-----|-------------------------|
| 1. | Girdhari Lal and ors.  | vs. | Union of India and ors. |
| 2. | Naresh Bharti and ors. | Vs. | Union of India and ors. |

CORAM:

Mr. Justice J.P.Singh, Judge.

Appearing counsel:

For the Petitioner (s) : Mr. Navneet Dubey, Advocate.  
For the Respondent(s) : Mr. V.K.Magoo, Advocate.

i)	Whether approved for reporting in Press/Journal/Media	: Yes/No
ii)	Whether to be reported in Digest/Journal	: Yes/No

Learned counsel for the parties were heard on the maintainability of these Writ Petitions in this Court in view of the applicability of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 to Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, the petitioners’ employer, vide S.O.(E) dated the 31<sup>st</sup> October, 2008 issued by Government of India, Ministry of Personnel Public Grievances and Pensions (Department of Personnel and Training).

Petitioners have filed these Writ Petitions seeking, *inter alia*, fixation of their seniority besides promotion to the post of STS Group-A in the Cadre of STS- Group Service.

Learned counsel for Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited has opposed the maintainability of the petitioners’ Writ Petitions on the ground that, with the issuance of Government of India, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pension (Department of Personnel and Training’s) Notification S.O.(E) dated the 31<sup>st</sup> October, 2008 applying the provisions of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 to the Bharat Sanchar Nigam

Limited, this Court may not have jurisdiction to entertain the Writ Petitions because the dispute raised in these Writ Petitions was cognizable by the Central Administrative Tribunal.

Petitioners' learned counsel says that the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 was not applicable to the employees of the Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited in view of the exception appearing in Section 14(3)(b) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 and these Writ Petitions were, thus, maintainable in this Court notwithstanding the issuance of Notification S.O.(E) of October 31, 2008 .

Per contra, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited's learned counsel submitted that Section 14(1)(b)(iii) referred to in Section 14(3)(b) would have no application to the employees of the Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, in that, the word 'and' appearing before the expression *pertaining to the service of such member* in Section 14(1)(b)(iii), relied upon by the petitioners' counsel, would not be read disjunctively, in that, such a course was neither countenanced by the law makers nor was such interpretation of the above referred provision, otherwise permissible and in this view of the matter, the provisions of the Administrative Tribunals Act, according to the learned counsel, would apply to the Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited.

Learned counsel for the parties were not, however, at variance that in the event of the applicability of the Act to Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, the dispute raised in both Writ Petitions would be cognizable by the Central Administrative Tribunal.

I have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and gone through the provisions of Section 14(1) and (3) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.

A plain reading of Section 14(1)(b)(iii), on which the petitioners' learned counsel lays great stress, demonstrates that word 'and' appearing in clause (iii) aforementioned is specifically intended to apply to those Civilians, who were appointed to any defence services or a post connected with defence, be they

under the control of the Government of India or any corporation or society owned or controlled by the Government.

This clause, by no stretch of reasoning, can be said to apply to the members of the Corporation for which the Parliament, in its wisdom, has made specific provision under Section 14(3) of the Act vesting the Central Administrative Tribunals with all the jurisdiction, powers and authority exercisable immediately before the notified date by all Courts except the Supreme Court, in relation to recruitment and other matters concerning recruitment, to any service or post in connection with the affairs of such local or other authority or corporation or society and all service matters concerning a person appointed to any service or post in connection with the affairs of such local or other authority or corporation or society and pertaining to the service of such person in connection with such affairs.

I, therefore, do not find any merit in the petitioners' learned counsel's submission that the exception indicated in Section 14(3)(b) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 was attracted in case of the employees of the Corporation and in this view of the matter, it is held that after coming into force of S.O.(E) dated the 31<sup>st</sup> October, 2008 the provisions of Section 14(3) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 would apply to Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited in relation to the disputes mentioned in Section 14(3)(a) &(b) of the Act.

For all what has been said above, the dispute raised by the petitioners in both the Writ Petitions being cognizable by the Central Administrative Tribunal constituted under the provisions of The Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, this Court would have no jurisdiction to entertain the petitioners' Writ Petitions.

These Writ Petitions are, accordingly, dismissed as non-maintainable, leaving the petitioners free to approach the Central Administrative Tribunal.

(J. P. Singh)  
Judge

**JAMMU**  
**20.05.2010**  
Vinod.