




Cont. Case No. 03/2010

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
		<p style="text-align: center;"><b>BEFORE</b> <b><u>HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. P. WANGDI, JUDGE</u></b></p>
04.	06.09.10	<p>Present : Mr. Ajay Rathi, Advocate with Ms. Sushma Pradhan, Advocate for the petitioners.</p> <p>Mrs. Laxmi Chakraborty, Advocate for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">****</p> <p>Compliance report in terms of order dated 19.08.2010 has been filed on behalf of the respondent contemnor.</p> <p>2. It is stated in the compliance report that the entire amount in terms of the judgment have been taken into account in the cheque and handed to the petitioners. Mr. Ajay Rathi, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, however, submits that there is a deficiency in the application of the interest, in as much as, in place of 12% that was awarded, only 10% has been calculated thereby leaving a deficiency of 2%.</p> <p>3. Mrs. Laxmi Chakraborty, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents contemnor Insurance Company, submits that if the petitioners furnish the deficient amount, it shall be paid by them subject to verification as to its correctness. Parties have agreed on the above conditions.</p>



Cont. Case No. 03/2010.

Serial No.	Date	Order (s) with Signature (s)
1	2	3
		<p>4. This Court is of the view that as the contempt stands purged by the aforesaid action, it would not be necessary to keep this matter pending and, therefore, it stands closed. This shall, however, be subject to the conditions stipulated and agreed upon by the parties.</p> <p> ( S. P. Wangdi ) <b>J U D G E</b> <u>06.09.2010</u></p>

at/