

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

CWJC No.256 of 2008

JAGTARNI DEVI

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS

with

CWJC No.12961 of 2008

NILIMA VERMA

Versus

L.N.MITHILA UNIVERSITY & ORS

with

CWJC No.13268 of 2008

DR.SYED ZEYAUH RAHMAN

Versus

L.N.MITHILA UNIVERSITY & ORS

with

CWJC No.13435 of 2008

SMT.RAJKUMARI DEVI

Versus

THE L.N.MITHILA UNIVERSITY & OR

with

CWJC No.14753 of 2008

BINOD KUMAR SINGH KUSHWAHA

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS

with

CWJC No.15109 of 2008

ARBIND KUMAR JHA

Versus

L.N.MITHILA UNIVERSITY & ORS

with

CWJC No.15164 of 2008

PURNIMA DEVI

Versus

L.N.MITHILA UNIVERSITY & ORS

with

CWJC No.15583 of 2008

PROF.RAM EKBAL THAKUR

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS

with

CWJC No.15725 of 2008

PROF.BABOO LAL PANDIT

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS

with


CWJC No.16172 of 2008

RAM PRATAP SINGH

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS

with



CWJC No.16179 of 2008
RAM SINGARI DEVI

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with

CWJC No.16191 of 2008
HARINANDAN PRASAD SINGH

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with

CWJC No.16210 of 2008
RADHE SHYAM PRASAD SINGH

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with

CWJC No.16307 of 2008
SAVITA RANI

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with

CWJC No.16628 of 2008
RAM BILASH THAKUR

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with

CWJC No.17326 of 2008
RAM BRIKSH SHANI

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with

CWJC No.17354 of 2008
RAM MOHAN GUPTA

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with

CWJC No.17509 of 2008
JELESHWAR MISHRA

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with

CWJC No.17527 of 2008
DR.NARAYAN JHA

Versus


L.N.MITHILA UNIVERSITY & ORS
with

CWJC No.17530 of 2008
ANGOORI FATIMA

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with

CWJC No.17597 of 2008



M.Z.HASSAN(NIZAMI)
 Versus
 L.N.MITHILA UNIVERSITY & ORS
 with
 CWJC No.17868 of 2008
 FIRAN JHA
 Versus
 THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
 with
 CWJC No.17961 of 2008
 MEENA DEVI
 Versus
 THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
 with
 CWJC No.1091 of 2009
 DR.ABHAY SHANKAR KHAN
 Versus
 THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
 with
 CWJC No.1980 of 2009
 SMT.SAVITRI DEVI
 Versus
 L.N.MITHILA UNIVERSITY & ORS
 with
 CWJC No.6944 of 2009
 PHULENA PRASAD SINGH
 Versus
 THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
 with
 CWJC No.7280 of 2009
 DR.SURENDRA PRASAD THAKUR
 Versus
 THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS

02. 26.02.2010

Learned counsel for the petitioner, State as
 also the respondent – University.

In all the above writ applications, the primary
 grievance of the petitioners is that upon their
 superannuation on different dates, despite request
 retiral dues have not been settled as yet. Apart from the
 retiral dues under the different heads, some other
 grievance has remained to be settled in the respective

writ applications.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents – University submits that the claims of the writ petitioners shall be considered as per law, if they file their separate representations stating distinctly unpaid claims, as also the copy of the relevant documents in support of such claim along with the certified copy of the present order.

In the above circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for expeditious disposal of their claims, petitioners have represented their grievance before the authorities of the University. However, notwithstanding the above, petitioners are ready and proposing to file to file fresh and separate representations before the Registrar of the respondent University enclosing the copy of the relevant documents if any, in support of such claim along with certified copy of the present order within a period of eight weeks from today.

In case such representations are filed, the respondent University shall consider each of the claims raised by the petitioners in their representation in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably within a period of four months on receipt of such representation so that the pending claims of the petitioners could be

settled with statutory interest without further delay.

However, upon consideration, if any of the claims or part of the claims are found not admissible, the same shall be communicated to the petitioners with the sufficient reasons thereof.

All the above writ applications are disposed of with the above observations/directions for expeditious action.

Let copy of the order be handed over to Mr. Partha Sarthy counsel for the University.

(Shailesh Kumar Sinha, J.)

Jagdish

