IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA Cr.Misc. No.12985 of 2010 RANJEET SHARMA Versus STATE OF BIHAR

5 30.11.2010

WEB

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for the state.

Though petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and his name surfaced in this case during the course of investigation but admittedly, when the petitioner was put on T.I.P., he was identified by one witness.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner used to go to civil court in connection with other cases and he might have been identified by the aforesaid witness but I am not at all convinced with the aforesaid submission.

Accordingly, prayer for bail on behalf of the petitioner is rejected. However, learned counsel for the petitioner points out that charge has already been framed against the petitioner but uptill now, no witness has been examined. In the aforesaid circumstance, the concerned court is directed to conclude the trial of the petitioner preferably within six months and if the trial is not concluded within the above said period, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

(Hemant Kumar Srivastava, J.)

AKV/-