

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT
NAINITAL
WRIT PETITION NO. 199 OF 2009 (MS)

Vikram Jeet Singh and another.Petitioners.

Versus

State of Uttarakhand and another.Respondents.

Mr. T.A.Khan, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. K.P. Upadhyay, Addl. Chief Standing Counsel for State of Uttarakhand.

30th November, 2009

Hon'ble Sudhanshu Dhulia, J.

Heard Mr. T.A. Khan, Advocate for petitioners and Mr. K.P. Upadhyay, Addl. Chief Standing Counsel for State of Uttarakhand.

The petitioners have filed the present writ petition with the prayer that suitable directions be given to the respondents, more particularly respondent no. 2, who is the District Magistrate, Udham Singh Nagar to consider and dispose of the application of the petitioners, which is pending before him under the Arms Act. The petitioners have made a requisition to the concerned authorities requiring an arms licence under the Arms Act, 1959.

Grievance of the petitioners is that although this application is pending disposal with respondent no. 2 i.e. the District Magistrate, who is the authority to grant such a licence, the same is not being disposed of by him.

In the counter affidavit, which has been filed on behalf of the District Magistrate, Udham Singh Nagar, it has been stated that a detail report regarding the petitioners was called for and is presently with

respondent no. 2 and shortly a decision is going to be taken on the report.

On the aforesaid set of facts, it is, therefore, directed that respondent no. 2 i.e. the District Magistrate shall consider the application of the petitioners along with the required report and take a decision on the said application within a period of one month from today either granting the licence or refusing the licence, as the case may be.

With the aforesaid directions, writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

(Sudhanshu Dhulia, J.)
30.11.2009

Rathour