

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Criminal Writ Petition no. 367 of 2009

With

Stay Application No. 3348/2009

Ravindra Kumar, S/o Sri Amer Singh. Petitioner

Versus

State of Uttarakhand and another ... Respondents

Mr. B.S. Adhikari, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. B.S. Parihar, Brief Holder for the respondent no. 1.
Mr. Ajay Veer Pundir, Advocate for respondent no. 2.

Hon'ble J.C.S. Rawat, J.

Mr. B.S. Adhikari, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Parihar, Brief Holder for the respondent no. 1.

Mr. Ajay Veer Pundir, Advocate for respondent no. 2.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

3. On due consideration of the submission of the learned counsel for the parties; the contents of the F.I.R.; the documents annexed with the petition by the parties; the fact that main allegation are against the present petitioner; and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, I do not find any ground to grant relief to the petitioner as claimed in the petition. Thus, the petition is liable to be dismissed summarily.

4. I am refraining from commenting on the merits of the case lest it may adversely affect the petitioner's case at the trial, which is yet to start.

5. In view of above, the petition is dismissed summarily. The stay application stands disposed of accordingly.

(J.C.S. Rawat, J.)

V.K.

30.04.2009