## IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

## Criminal Writ Petition No. 203 of 2009

Kunwar Pal and others ...... Petitioners

Versus

State of Uttarakhand and others ...... Respondents

Mr. D.K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Prabhakar Joshi, Brief for respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

None for respondent No.3.

## Hon'ble J.C.S. Rawat, J.

Mr. D.K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Prabhakar Joshi, Brief for respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

None for respondent No.3.

2. After due consideration of the submissions of the learned

counsel for the parties; contents of the F.I.R.; and perusal of the

medical report, I do not find that the petitioners are entitled to get the

reliefs claimed in the writ petition.

3. The writ petition, therefore, fails and is liable to be dismissed

and is hereby dismissed in limine.

4. I am refraining from commenting on the merits of the case least

it may adversely affect the petitioners' case at the trial, which is yet to

start.

5. However, keeping in view the injuries sustained in the incident,

it is made clear if the petitioners move bail petitions before the court

below, their bail petitions shall be disposed of expeditiously without

waste of time.

6. Consequently, the CLMA No. 2417 of 2009 also stands

disposed of.

(J.C.S. Rawat, J.)

31.03.2009

Shiv