

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.19 of 2009(O&M)

Date of Order: 31.8.2009

Kuldip Singh and others

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Avnish Mittal, Advocate for the appellants.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

M.M. KUMAR,J

This order shall dispose of LPA Nos.19,20,23,26,27,28,29 & 30 of 2009(O&M). It is a conceded position that these Letters Patent Appeals have been filed against the order of the learned Single Judge, which is obviously intra court appeal.

In view of the provisions of Section 100-A of the Code of Civil Procedure, no intra court appeal from the date of the aforesaid amendment would be maintainable. The aforesaid issue came up for consideration before a Full Bench of this Court in the case of Parshotam Lal v. State of Haryana, 2003 (2) PLR 816. Even otherwise, there is ordinate delay of nine

years in filing the appeals.

Accordingly, these appeals are dismissed as not maintainable.

(M.M. KUMAR)
JUDGE

August 31, 2009
manoj

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

1. LPA No.19 of 2009
Kuldip Singh and others v. State of Haryana and another
2. LPA No.30 of 2009
Joginder Singh and others v. State of Haryana and another
3. LPA No.27 of 2009
Smt Krishna v. State of Haryana and others
4. LPA No.28 of 2009
Karan Singh and others v. State of Haryana
5. LPA No.29 of 2009
Kanta and others v. State of Haryana and another
6. LPA No.26 of 2009
Ajmer Singh and others v. State of Haryana
7. LPA No.23 of 2009
Ajmer Singh and others v. State of Haryana and another
8. LPA No.20 of 2009
Smt Jaiwanti v. State of Haryana and another