

**CrI. WP No.M-632 of 2009 (O&M)**

**Vikas                      vs.                      U.T. Administration**

Present: Mr. Vijay K.Jindal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anupam Bhardwaj, Advocate  
for UT, Chandigarh.

**\*\*\*\***

This petition has been filed for issuing a writ in the nature of habeas corpus seeking release of the petitioner under Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance on the judgment titled as ***Hari Ram vs. State of Rajasthan & another reported as 2009 Volume III RAJ page 415*** (Annexure P-5). In reply it has been averred that the said judgment is not applicable. However, it is not denied that on the date of occurrence the petitioner was less than 18 years of age.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he would be satisfied if he is permitted to move a representation before higher authorities in this regard within a period of two weeks from today and the same should be directed within any reasonable time by passing a speaking order.

In my opinion, it is a fair request. In case, the petitioner moves the above mentioned representation within a period of two weeks to the Secretary, Social Welfare, UT, he is directed to pass a speaking order thereon within a period of six weeks thereafter.

This petition is disposed of.

November 30, 2009  
**sonia**

**(AJAY TEWARI)**  
**JUDGE**