IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

C.R. No. 6042 of 2009. [O&M]

Date of Decision: 26th October, 2009.

Des Raj Petitioner

through

Mr. G.S.Punia, Advocate

Versus

Sant Kumar Respondent

through None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL)

This Revision Petition is directed by the petitioner – tenant against the order dated 01.10.2009 passed by the Rent Controller, Chandigarh whereby his application for leading additional evidence has been declined.

Notice of motion was issued but in response thereto, no one appears on behalf of the respondent – landlord despite service.

The petitioner has moved the afore-stated application to place on record only a certified copy of the statement on oath made by the respondent in a criminal complaint case. Suffice it to observe that the contents of that statement have some relevance and would assist the Rent Controller to arrive at a fair and just conclusion in the matter.

For the reasons afore-stated, the revision petition is hereby allowed; the impugned order dated 1.10.2009 is set aside and the application moved by the petitioner – tenants for leading additional evidence comprising certified copy of the statement made by the respondent – landlord on oath before a Court, which would be *per-se* admissible in evidence, is allowed, subject to payment of Rs.3500/- as costs.

Dasti.

October 26, 2009.

dinesh

(SURYA KANT) JUDGE