

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT HYDERABAD  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF JANUARY  
TWO THOUSAND AND NINE

PRESENT  
**THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI**  
**WRIT PETITION NO : 24056 of 2005**

Between:

- 1 E.Venkateswarlu S/o.Subramanyam  
18-1-407/4, Upstaris, Bhavani Nagar Tirupati, Chittoor District.
- 2 M.Ramachandra Reddy S/o.M.Gurava Reddy  
Ullipattida, Tirupati-2 Chittoor District.
- 3 Smt.M.Suguna W/o.Venkataramana  
325, Reservoir Road, Tirupati Chittoor District.
- 4 Smt.P.Seshamma W/o.Late P.Munuswamy  
18-1384-A, Bhavai Nagar Tirupati, Chittoor District.

**..... PETITIONERS**

AND

- 1 Tirupati Urban Development Authority, Tirupati, Chittoor  
District rep. by its Vice Chairman.
- 2 Madugapalli Sivakesavalu Naidu S/o.Late Govinda Naidu,  
19-3-5-C/4, Srinivasapuram Tirupathi Town, Chittoor District.

**.....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or direction declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not granting final layout in Sy.No.11/2B 2 A of Akrampalli Village, Timmanaidupalem Grampanchayat, Tirupati Urban Mandal, Chittoor District pursuant to the tentative layout granted in Roc No.379, 380, 400 and 401/G1/2005 dt.21.3.2005 as illegal arbitrary and consequently direct the 1st respondent to consider the application of the petitioners for grant of final layout and pass such other or further orders as the Hon'ble Court feels deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.O.MANOHER REDDY**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.: MR.P.GANGA RAMI REDDY**

**The Court made the following :**

**THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI**

**WRIT PETITION No : 24056 of 2005**

**ORDER :**

-  
This writ petition is filed seeking a declaration that the action of the first respondent-Urban Development Authority in not granting final lay out in respect of Sy.No.11/2B and 2A of Akrapalli Village, Timmanaidupalem Grampanchayat, Tirupati Urban Mandal, Chittoor District is arbitrary and illegal.

When the matter is taken up for consideration, it is represented by the learned counsel for the first respondent that during the pendency of this writ petition, a final lay out has been granted.

The said fact has not been disputed by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

In the circumstances, no further enquiry is necessary in the writ petition and accordingly, the same is disposed of. No costs.

---

**G. ROHINI, J**

Dated:30-01-2009  
KLP