IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

PRESENT THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SRI ANIL R. DAVE AND THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT APPEAL NO.1376 OF 2009

Dt.30.11.2009

Between:
K.V.N. Malleswar Reddy and others ... Appellants

And

Ch. Yalamanda and others ... Respondents

Counsel for the Appellants: Sri P. Veera Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Sri Rajanikanth Jwala

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 to 5: None appeared

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SRI ANIL R. DAVE AND THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT APPEAL NO.1376 OF 2009

JUDGMENT: (per the Hon'ble the Chief Justice Sri Anil R. Dave)

Heard the learned Advocates.

It has been informed that respondent No.3 has already considered the explanation given by respondent No.1 and the case is reserved for pronouncement of order.

Looking to the fact that respondent No.3 had been directed to complete the enquiry within four weeks from the date of receipt of the impugned order which has been passed by the learned Single Judge and as the explanation has already been offered by respondent No.1, we are sure that respondent No.3 will take an appropriate decision as soon as possible.

Looking to the facts of the case, respondent No.3 is directed to pass an appropriate order, after considering the relevant facts, without any avoidable delay.

In the aforestated circumstances, we do not interfere with the order passed by the learned Single Judge and the appeal is disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

As a sequel to disposal of the Writ Appeal, W.A.M.P. No.2880 of 2009, filed by the appellants for interim relief, is disposed of as infructuous.

30.11.2009

bnr

```
Note: 1. _Registry to forward a copy of the order to respondent No.3.

2. Issue C.C. in three (3) days.

(B.O)

bnr
```