## IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

### TUESDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF MARCH TWO THOUSAND AND NINE

### **PRESENT**

# THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA WRIT PETITION NO: 4099 of 2009

### Between:

- 1 Mr.Shaik Fazal, S/o. S.Abdul gaffar, R/o. D.No.7-280, Ravindranagar, Kadapa Town, Kadapa District.
- 2 Mr.S.Abdul Gaffar, S/o. late Fakrudhin Saheb, R/o. D.No.7-280, Ravindranagar, Kadapa Town, Kadapa District.
- 3 Smt. Farida Begum, W/o. Mr.S.Abdul gaffar, R/o. D.No.7-280, Ravindranagar, Kadapa Town, Kadapa District.

.... PETITIONERS

#### AND

- 1 The Station House Officer, Pulivendula, kadapa District.
- 2 The Circle Inspector, Pulivendula, Kadapa District.
- 3 The Circle Inspector, Kadapa West zone, Kadapa.
- 4 The Superintendent of Police, Kadapa District at Kadapa.
- 5 Mr. Raja Saheb, Proprietor Kamadenu Dressess, pulivendula, Kadapa District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate order or direction especially one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents 1 to 3 in harassing the petitioners and interfering with the marital dispute between the petitioner and the daughter of the 5th respondent and further giving threats to the petitioners and their family members and declare the inaction of the 4th respondent in initiating action on the representation made by the petitioner dated 10.2.2009 is illegal, arbitrary and violation of Articles 14, 19(1)(g) and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents not to harass the petitioners.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.MASTHAN NAIDU CHERUKURI

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following ORDER:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No.4099 of 2009

Order:

The main grievance of the petitioners in this writ petition is that

respondents 1 to 3, at the instance of the fifth respondent, are harassing

and interfering with the marital dispute between them and the daughter

of the fifth respondent.

A counter affidavit is filed by the official respondents denying the

allegations made by the petitioners. At para-6 of the counter affidavit, it

is categorically stated that as of now, no case is registered against the

petitioners and that they are neither harassing the petitioners nor

interfering with the marital dispute between them and the fifth

respondent.

In view of the specific stand taken by the respondents in their

counter affidavit, no further adjudication is necessary in this writ petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is closed. However, in case the

respondents act in deviation to the submissions made hereinabove, the

petitioners are at liberty to pursue the remedies available to them under

law.

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: 31.03.2009

VGB