

30.6.2009 Present: Mr R. Bharti Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr RK Sharma Sr. Addl. Advocate General, for the respondents.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has stated that during the pendency of the petition the services of the petitioners have been regularized and he confines his prayer to relief No. 7 (ii) only made in the petition.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that even relief 7 (ii) is covered by the judgment of the Supreme Court in Rattan Lal Vs State of Haryana 1985 (4) SCC 43 and in State of H.P. Vs Mukta Sharma (CWP No. 1153 of 2006) decided by Division Bench of this Court on September 1, 2008. This fact is not denied by the learned Senior Additional Advocate General, therefore, respondents are directed to calculate the arrears of salary for the months of July and August for three years prior to the filing of the petition till the regularization of the services of the petitioners and pay the same to them within six months. The petition is allowed on the aforesaid terms only

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge.

June 30, 2009
(KC)