

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA  
DHARWAD BENCH**

DATED THIS THE 26<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF MAY 2009

BEFORE

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAMANNA**

**MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL No. 9906 OF 2006**

**BETWEEN:**

SMT SRIDEVI KOM SRINIVAS ACHARYA KONERI,  
AGE: 43 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEHOLD WORK,  
R/O TABIBLAND, NEAR SRI.GANESH TEMPLE,  
HUBLI DIST: DHARWAD.

... APPELLANT

(By Sri. /Smt: T Y KATWA, ADV.)

**AND:**

1. THE SRI GURUSIDDESHWARA CO-OPERATIVE BANK,  
DAJIBANPET, HUBLI, THROUGH MANAGER,  
SRI.GURUSIDDESHWARA CO-OPERATIVE BANK,  
DAJIBANPET, HUBLI, DIST: DHARWAD
2. RAJENDRA VENGETI S/O PAUL JOHN VENGETI,  
AGE: 34 YEARS, OCC: SERVICE,  
H.NO.1285 C, RAILWAY QUARTERS,  
CEMENT CHAWL, GADAG ROAD,  
HUBLI, DIST: DHARWAD.
3. SAGAI MARY KOM VINISENT DAVID,  
AGE: MAJOR, OCC: NURSE,  
VALLABHAI NAGAR, MANTUR ROAD,  
HUBLI, DIST: DHARWAD.
4. SMT VALSA KONCHMONU,  
AGE: 39 YEARS, OCC: LAB TECHNICIAN,  
H.NO.12, DR.HALLIKERI BUILDING,  
VIDYANAGAR, HUBLI, DIST: DHARWAD

5. MARIYAMMA KOM SHIVAPPA BELLARY,  
AGE: 48 YEARS, OCC: AAYA,  
RAJAGOPAL NAGAR, GOODS-SHED ROAD  
HUBLI, DIST: DHARWAD.
6. LEELA DAMODAR,  
AGE: 50 YEARS, OCC: AAYA,  
VALLABHAI NAGAR, MANTUR ROAD,  
HUBLI, DIST: DHARWAD.
7. AGNIS FRANK KOM FRANK,  
AGE: 47 YEARS, OCC: AAYA,  
K.KOTHARI WILSON, GANDHIWADA,  
GADAG ROAD, HUBLI, DIST: DHARWAD
8. NIGAPPA PARAPPA BANDIWAD,  
AGE: 46 YEARS, OCC: PEON,  
MANTUR ROAD, HUBLI, DIST: DHARWAD
9. YASHODA KOM SHAMKUMAR PILLAI,  
AGE: 40 YEARS, OCC: AAYA, H.NO.75,  
RAMNAGAR, HUBLI, DIST: DHARWAD.
10. SUMANGALA MADESHWAR D/O PATRAPPA, MADESHWAR,  
AGE: 27 YEARS, OCC: NURSE,  
CANCER HOSPITAL QUARTERS, H.NO. 29,  
NAVANAGAR, HUBLI, DIST: DHARWAD

... RESPONDENTS

\* \* \* \* \*

This Miscellaneous First Appeal filed U/s 384 of the Indian Succession Act, against the Judgement and Award dated: 19.09.2005 passed in P.& S.C. No. 04/2004 on the file of the learned Principal District Judge, Dharwad.

This appeal coming on for Orders this day, the Hon'ble Court Ordered the following:

**O R D E R**

When the case was called out twice none appeared for the appellant.

No representation is made.

Though the appeal came to be filed in the year 2006, notice has not been served on the respondents.

However, as a last chance ten weeks time is granted to do the needful, failing which the appeal stands dismissed for non-prosecution without any further orders from the court.

**SD/-  
JUDGE.**

**//COPY//**

As per the endorsement dated 07/07/11 made in the order sheet the Advocate for the appellant has not complied with the office objections. Hence, this MFA. 9906/2006 stands dismissed as per the Court dated 26/05/2009.

**BY ORDER OF THE COURT**



**Sd/-  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

R-By:  
C-By:  
T-By: nd