

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**WRIT PETITION (C) No. 1704 of 2009**

**PETITIONER** : 1. Sukh Ram Urao S/o Rethu Urao, aged about: 60 years.  
2. Nan Dau S/o Rethu Urao, aged about: 50 years,  
Both are R/o. village: Riya Para, Tahsil : Raigarh, District : Raigarh (C.G.)

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS** : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary Revenue Department, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, District: Raipur (C.G.)  
2. Collector, Raigarh, District: Raigarh (C.G.)  
3. Land Acquisition Officer & Sub Divisional Officer, Raigarh, District: Raigarh (C.G.)  
4. Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Raigarh, District: Raigarh (C.G.)

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

**SB: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

**Present:** Shri P.K.Patel, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Shri M.P.S. Bhatia, Deputy Government Advocate for the State/respondents.

**ORAL ORDER**

(Passed on 31<sup>st</sup> day of March, 2009)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the land of the petitioner bearing Khasra No. 193/2, 194/1 and 196, situated at village Bade Rampur, Raigarh, has been acquired by the respondents without making payment of compensation.
2. Shri Bhatia, learned Deputy Government Advocate appearing for the State/respondents submits that so far as acquisition proceedings in respect of land in dispute is concerned, the proceedings initiated under provisions of Land Acquisition Act have been dropped as compensation amount has not been deposited by the beneficiary. Thus, there is nothing in this matter as there is no proceedings for acquisition of the land in dispute.
3. In view of the above submission made by learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents, according to learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, nothing survives for adjudication.
4. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-  
Satish K. Agnihotri  
Judge