

Single Bench

CF-200

①

**IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT  
BILASPUR**

**WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 5616 OF 2009**

**PETITIONERS**

- :- 1. Vijay Prakash Ojha son of Shri Satyadhar Ojha, aged about 41 years, Occupation serive(U.D.T.), posted at Vinobha Adivashi Kanya Ashram, Jaisakarra, Tahsil Charama, District Kanker (C.G.).

R/o village & Post Jaisakarra, Tahsil Charama, District Kanker (C.G.)

2. Ishwar Lal Patel son of Late Shri Manglu Ram Patel, aged about 40 years, Occupation Service (U.D.T.), Posted at Vinobha Adivashi Kanya Ashram, Jaisakarra, Tahsil Charama, District Kanker (C.G.).

R/o village & Post Jaisakarra, Tahsil Charama, District Kanker (C.G.)

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS**

- :- 1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Tribal Welfare Department, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Commissioner, Tribal Welfare and Development, Raipur (C.G.).
3. Collector, District Collectorate, Kanker, District Kanker (C.G.).
4. The Secretary, Mata Rukhmani Sewa Sansthan Samiti, Dimrapal, Tahsil & District Jagdalpur (C.G.)



**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE  
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

1) **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER:-**

As given above in the cause title.



23  
25/09/09

23

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 5616 of 2009

PETITIONERS : Vijay Prakash Ojha & another.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : State of Chhattisgarh & Others.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

SB: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Present: Shri Amiya Kant Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioners.  
Smt. Smita Ghai, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents 1 to 3.

ORDER (ORAL)

(Passed on 25<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2009)

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the instant petition is squarely covered by the decision of this Court rendered in *Smt. A.Lall v. State of Chhattisgarh & Others*<sup>1</sup>, thus the petitioners may be permitted to make a representation to decide their case in the light of aforesaid decision, subject to verification as to whether the respondent No. 4-Institute is a Government Aided school and the petitioners are teacher within the sanctioned strength.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents 1 to 3 submits that in the event, the petitioners make a representation, the same will be considered and decided, in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the representation.
3. In view of foregoing, according to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, nothing survives in this petition for adjudication.
4. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of.

Amit

Sd/-  
Satish K. Agnihotri  
Judge

<sup>1</sup> 2007 (1) BLJ 265