

CF 1007

①
1.

Single Bench

**IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT
BILASPUR**

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 5396 OF 2009 (S.B.)

PETITIONER

:-

✓ Somaru Ram Kashyap S/o Shri
Sirpat Ram Kashyap, aged about 48
years, Occupation- Service, posted as
(L.D.T., posted at Balak Ashram,
Ketam Block Katekalyan Dantewada,
District Dantewada (C.G.)

R/o village & Post Pandripani, Tahsil
Jagdallpur, District Bastar (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

:-

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through
Secretary, Tribal Welfare
Department, Mantralaya, D.K.S.
Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Commissioner, Tribal Welfare
and Development, Raipur (C.G.).
3. Collector, District Collectorate,
Dantewada, District Dantewada
(C.G.).
4. The Secretary, Mata Rukhmani Sewa
Sansthan Samiti, Dimrapal, Tahsil &
District Jagdalpur (C.G.).

5623/09
P.P. No. Anya Kam-Tweri
Presented dated 16.11.09



**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, 1950**



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 5396 of 2009

PETITIONER : Somaru Ram Kashyap.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : State of Chhattisgarh & Others.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

SB: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Present: Shri Amiya Kant Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioner.
Smt. Smita Ghai, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents 1 to 3.

ORDER (ORAL)

(Passed on 25th day of September, 2009)

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits¹ that the instant petition is squarely covered by the decision of this Court rendered in *Smt. A.Lall v. State of Chhattisgarh & Others*¹, thus the petitioner may be permitted to make a representation to decide his case in the light of aforesaid decision, subject to verification as to whether the respondent No. 4-Institute is a Government Aided school and the petitioner is a teacher within the sanctioned strength.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents 1 to 3 submits that in the event, the petitioners make a representation, the same will be considered and decided, in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the representation.
3. In view of foregoing, according to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, nothing survives in this petition for adjudication.
4. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Amit

¹ 2007 (1) BLJ 265