

CF 2002

6
1.

**IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT
BILASPUR**

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 5411 OF 2009 (S.B.)

PETITIONERS

- :- 1. Thibaru Ram Kashyap s/o Late Shri Botiram Kashyap, aged about 40 years, Occupation - Teacher, Presently posted as L.D.T. at Balak Ashram Chintalnar, Tahsil Konta, District - Dantewada (C.G.)

R/o village & Post Dimrapal Tahsil and District Jagdalpur (C.G.).

2. Smt. Naval Kashyap w/o Shri M.R. Kashyap, aged about 33 years, Occupation - Teacher, Presently Posted as L.D.T. at Kanya Ashram Bhusaras, Tahsil Dantewada District Dantewada (C.G.)

R/o village - Kalepal, Post Telimarenga, Tahsil Jagdalpur, District Bastar (C.G.).

VERSUS**RESPONDENTS**


- :- 1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Tribal Welfare Department, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Commissioner, Tribal Welfare and Development, Raipur (C.G.).
3. Collector, District Collectorate, Dantewada District Dantewada (C.G.).
4. The Secretary, Mata Rukhmani Sewa Sansthan Samiti, Dimrapal, Tahsil & District Jagdalpur (C.G.).

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, 1950**

1] **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONERS:-**

As given above in the

364269
Presented by
dated





MS
23/09/09

34

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 5411 of 2009

PETITIONERS : Thibaru Ram Kashyap & another.

RESPONDENTS : State of Chhattisgarh & Others.

VERSUS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

SB: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Present: Shri Amiya Kant Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioners.
Smt. Smita Ghai, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents 1 to 3.

ORDER (ORAL)

(Passed on 25th day of September, 2009)

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the instant petition is squarely covered by the decision of this Court rendered in *Smt. A.Lall v. State of Chhattisgarh & Others*¹, thus the petitioners may be permitted to make a representation to decide their case in the light of aforesaid decision, subject to verification as to whether the respondent No. 4-Institute is a Government Aided school and the petitioners are teacher within the sanctioned strength.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents 1 to 3 submits that in the event, the petitioners make a representation, the same will be considered and decided, in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the representation.
3. In view of foregoing, according to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, nothing survives in this petition for adjudication.
4. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of.

Amit

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge