

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR**

**CHHATTISGARH**

**WRIT PETITION No. 1544/2006**

**PETITIONER**

P.R. No. 1606/26  
Presented by Shri. A. M. Yadav  
dated 25/3/26

Smt. Basant Kavalia W/o Shri  
Thanwar Kavalia aged about 27  
years, R/o - Village - Iragaon  
Block- Manpur, Distt. Rajnandgaon  
(C.G.)

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS**

RECEIVED-COPY  
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR  
25-3-06

1. State of Chhattisgarh  
Through - Secretary, Panchayat &  
Rural Development Department,  
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

The Chief Executive Officer,  
Janpad Panchayat, Manpur  
Distt. Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

3. Head Master, Vaikalpik (Unnayan)  
Primary School, Halajur, Manpur  
Distt. Rajnandgaon

**PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF**

**THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.R. No. 1544/ सन् 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक या आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p><b>SB: <u>Hon. Mr. Justice Pritinker Diwaker</u></b></p> <p><b><u>30.06.2009:</u></b></p> <p>Shri Anup Majumdar counsel for the petitioner.  Shri Yashwant Singh Thakur Dy. AG and Smt.  Smitha Ghai PL for the respondents No. 1 and 3.  Shri Amrito Das counsel for respondent No.2.</p> <p>Case of the petitioner is that initially she was appointed as Instructor in Panchayat and Rural Welfare Department. Subsequently, after up-gradation, she was appointed as Guru Ji under Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan scheme and was given the pay scale of contract teacher Grade III. Thereafter, the petitioner was terminated from service vide order dated 22.2.2006 and it is the said termination order which is under challenge in this petition.</p> <p>Contention of the counsel for the petitioner is that after filing of the present petition, the petitioner has been reinstated vide order dated 29.6.2006 passed by respondent No.2 and now the limited relief of the petitioner is in respect of grant of salary for the period 22.2.2006 to 29.6.2006.</p>	

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक या आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p>Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a very low paid employee and under the compelling circumstances she is working as Guru Ji. He further submits that the respondents have got sufficient fund under the said scheme and the salary for the aforesaid period can be given to her by them. Lastly, he submits that the petitioner would make a suitable representation to respondent No.2 and seeks a direction for deciding the same sympathetically and to make payment of salary for the aforementioned period. To this submission of the counsel for the petitioner, counsel for the respondents, have no objection.</p> <p>Accordingly, the respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation, if any, made by the petitioner within a period of three weeks from the date of its receipt strictly in accordance with law.</p> <p>The petition is thus disposed of in the afore-stated terms.</p>	
		<p>Sd/- Pritinker Diwaker Judge</p>