MC 1803/2009

**BEFORE** 

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY

Heard Mr. A.K. Bhuyan, learned counsel appearing for the State respondents, who have filed Misc. Case No. 1868/09, prays for extension of time, to make the fina l settlement of Dibrugarh Majorbari Ferry service in pursuant to the order passed on 3.6.09.

It is contended by Mr. Bhuyan that in response to the fresh settlement process, 11 offers were received. However, two tenderers were found to have offered the h ighest bid of Rs.45, 50,000/-. Accordingly it is contended that some more time w ould be necessary to make the final settlement.

Mr. A. Das, learned counsel appearing for the applicant in Misc. Case No. 1803/0 9 refers to the delay in making the final settlement and submits that if the fin al settlement is further delayed and if the respondents are required to manage the Ferry on adhoc basis in the interregnum, the applicant Jun Gogoi should also be considered eligible for grant of such temporary settlement, beyond 18.7.09. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsels and considering the fact that two valid highest offers of the same amount are received, further time till 10.8.09 is granted to make the final settlement of the Dibrugarh Majorba ri Ferry service. Till then if any interim arrangement is to be made, the respondent authorities may also consider the applicant Jun Gogoi. But no interim arrangement beyond 10.8.09 should be allowed and the final settlement should be effected on or before 10.8.09.

With the aforesaid order, these two Misc. cases stand disposed of.