

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Review. No.232 of 2007

Anandi Mandal, Son of late Mahadeo Mandal, resident of Village & P.O. Hirambi, P.S. Dhoraiyah, District Banka, Presently residing at Dhanuki (Kumahrar) P.O Lohiya Nagar, District- Patna-20 -----
Appellant/Petitioners.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Higher Education Department, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.
2. Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Higher Education Department, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.
3. Deputy Secretary, Higher Education Department Vikash Bhawan, Patna.
4. Director, Higher Education, Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.
5. Director, Bihar Rashtra Bhasha Parishad, Saidpur, Patna. -----
Respondents/Opp. Parties.

4. 30.4.2009

Heard Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma for the petitioner, and

Mr. A. Amanullah for the respondents. This application has been filed by the appellant of L.P.A. No. 572 of 2006 (Anandi Mandal V. The State of Bihar & Ors.), for review of the order dated 26.9.2007, whereby the appeal was dismissed.

We have perused the materials on record and considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties. The petitioner had preferred C.W.J.C. 5160 of 2003 (Anandi Mandal Vs. State of Bihar & Ors.), seeking to challenge the order dated 5.4.2003, issued by the State Government in the department of Higher Education, whereby all promotions granted to the petitioner, an employee of the Rashtra Bhasha Parishad, were cancelled and he was put back in the pay scale of the post on which he entered the service. With great respect, the facts have been very clearly stated in the order dated 29.6.2006, whereby the writ petition was dismissed. Learned Single Judge found for more than adequate justification for the respondent authorities to

pass the said order dated 5.4.2003. Learned Single Judge also, inter alia, found that the petitioner was in collusion with the respondent authorities in securing meteoric rise in the Rastra Bhasha Parishad. Aggrieved by the order, the writ petitioner preferred the said L.P.A. No. 572 of 2006 which was, for identical reasons, been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner assails the validity of the order dismissing the appeal on various grounds none of which can be entertained within the narrow confines of civil review jurisdiction.

This review application is dismissed.

(S. K. Katriar ,J.)

Vinay/

(Kishore K. Mandal, J.)

