IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA MJC No.889 of 2005

- 1. KAILASH PRASAD SINGH
- 2. Baleshwar Singh,
 - Both sons of Late Bhaloo Singh alias Hira Singh
- 3. Rudradeo Singh (Minor) Son of Kailash Prasad Singh U/g of his father and next friend.
- 4. Krishnadeo Singh
- 5. Nabin Prasad Singh
- 6. Bilayati Prasad Singh minor sons of Late Bahso Singh U/g of Baleshwar Singh.
- 7. Ramanuj Prasad Singh minor son of Baleshwar Singh U/g of his father and next friend.
 - All resident of village- Tilakpur, P.S.- Mananpur, District- Lakhisarai ----- Petitioners

Versus

- 1. RAM CHANDRA SINGH, S/o Late Radhey Singh
- 2. Upendra Singh Minor Son of Late Radhey Singh under guardianship of Shishendra Kumar Advocate G/A
- 3. Arji Devi
- 4. Kari Devi
- 5. Shivaro Devi
- 6. Kajma Devi
 - All D/o Late Radhey Singh
- 7. Sitaram Singh S/o Late Hira Singh
- 8. Subodh Singh @ Rabindra Singh S/o Late Dina Singh
- 9. Bachoo Singh @ Satyendra Singh Minor Son of Late Dina Singh U/G of Sri Shishendra Kumar, Advocate
- 10.Narain Singh son of Late Dina Singh
- 11.Dazy Kumari
- 12. Bauni Kumari
 Both D/o Late Dina Singh
 13. Jitendra Kumar Minor S/o Late Sitaram Singh under guardianship of Sri
 Shishendra Kumar, Advocate
- 14.Ram Jivan Singh Minor son of Ramchandra Singh U/G of Shishendra Kumar, Advocate
- 15.Suresh Singh
- 16.Girish Singh
- 17.Mahesh Singh
- 18.Umesh Singh
 - (O.P. No. 15 to 18) All S/o Late Tahal Singh

- (O.P. No. 15 to 18) All S/o Late Tanal Sings 19.Widow of Late Dinesh Singh 20.Dharmendra Kumar 21Pankaj Kumar Both son of Late Dinesh Singh 22.Ram Dulari Devi, W/o Late Nand Kishor Singh 23.Widow of Late Bishundeo Singh
- 24.Ramanuj Singh
- 25. Sachita Nand Singh
 - Both son of Late Bishundeo Singh
- 26.Sitara Devi
- 27.Kalpana Devi
 - Both daughter of Late Bishundeo Singh
- 28.Ram Sakhi Devi W/o Late Daroga Singh
- 29.Ramashish Kumar
- 30.Sanjay Singh
 - All S/o Late Daroga Singh
- 31.widow of Late Shushil Singh
- 32. Nush kumar Son of late Shushil Singh
- 33.Renu Devi W/o Late Vijay Singh
- 34. Gunjan Kumar, Minor, Son of Late Vijay Singh under guardianship of Mother Renu Devi

```
35. Jay Narayan Singh, S/o Late Chamo Singh
```

- 36.Ram Sagar Singh, S/o Late Bisho Singh
- 37.Basuki Singh, S/o Late Jamuna Singh
- 38.Babu Saheb Singh
- 39.Ram Balak Singh, Both son of Late Ayodhya Singh
- 40.Rabo Devi, D/o Late Ayodhya Singh

All O.P. No. 1 to 40 are resident of Village- Tilakpur, P.S.- Mananpur, District- Lakhisarai

----- Opposite Parties.

With

MJC No.2657 of 2005

- 1.KAILASH PRASAD SINGH
- 2.Baleshwar Singh,

Both sons of Late Bhaloo Singh alias Hira Singh

- 3.Rudradeo Singh(Minor) Son of Kailash Prasad Singh U/g of Baleshwar Singh.
- 4.Krishnadeo Singh
- 5. Nabin Prasad Singh
- 6.Bilayati Prasad Singh minor sons of Late Bahso Singh U/g of Baleshwar Singh.
- 7.Ramanuj Prasad Singh minor son of Baleshwar Singh U/g of his father and next friend.

All resident of village- Tilakpur, P.S.- Mananpur, District- Lakhisarai - Petitioners

Versus

- 1.RAM CHANDRA SINGH, S/o Late Radhey Singh
- 2. Upendra Singh Minor Son of Late Radhey Singh under guardianship of Shishendra Kumar Advocate G/A
- 3.Arji Devi
- 4.Kari Devi
- 5.Shivaro Devi

- 6.Kajma Devi
 All D/o Late Radhey Singh
 7.Sitaram Singh S/o Late Hira Singh
 8.Subodh Singh @ Rabindra Singh S/o Late Dina Singh
- 9.Bachoo Singh @ Satyendra Singh Minor Son of Late Dina Singh U/G of Sri Shishendra Kumar, Advocate
- 10.Narain Singh son of Late Dina Singh
- 11.Dazy Kumari
- 12.Bauni Kumari

Both D/o Late Dina Singh

- 13.Jitendra Kumar Minor S/o Late Sitaram Singh under guardianship of Sri Shishendra Kumar, Advocate
- 14.Ram Jivan Singh Minor son of Ramchandra Singh U/G of Shishendra Kumar, Advocate
 15.Suresh Singh
- 16.Girish Singh OFF
- 17.Mahesh Singh
- 18.Umesh Singh

(O.P. No. 15 to 18) All S/o Late Tahal Singh

- 19. Widow of Late Dinesh Singh
- 20.Dharmendra Kumar
- 21Pankaj Kumar

Both son of Late Dinesh Singh

- 22.Ram Dulari Devi, W/o Late Nand Kishor Singh
- 23. Widow of Late Bishundeo Singh
- 24.Ramanuj Singh
- 25. Sachita Nand Singh

Both son of Late Bishundeo Singh

- 26.Sitara Devi
- 27.Kalpana Devi

Both daughter of Late Bishundeo Singh

28.Ram Sakhi Devi W/o Late Daroga Singh

```
29.Ramashish Kumar
```

30.Sanjay Singh

All S/o Late Daroga Singh

- 31.widow of Late Shushil Singh
- 32. Nush kumar Son of late Shushil Singh
- 33.Renu Devi W/o Late Vijay Singh
- 34. Gunjan Kumar, Minor, Son of Late Vijay Singh under quardianship of Mother Renu Devi
- 35.Jay Narayan Singh, S/o Late Chamo Singh
- 36.Ram Sagar Singh, S/o Late Bisho Singh
- 37. Basuki Singh, S/o Late Jamuna Singh
- 38.Babu Saheb Singh
- 39.Ram Balak Singh, Both son of Late Ayodhya Singh
- 40.Rabo Devi, D/o Late Ayodhya Singh

All O.P. No. 1 to 40 are resident of Village- Tilakpur, P.S.- Mananpur, District- Lakhisarai

----- Opposite Parties.

With

MJC No.2817 of 2005

- 1.KAILASH PRASAD SINGH
- 2.Baleshwar Singh,

Both sons of Late Bhaloo Singh alias Hira Singh

- 3. Rudradeo Singh (Minor) Son of Kailash Prasad Singh U/g of Baleshwar Singh.
- 4.Krishnadeo Singh
- 5.Nabin Prasad Singh
- 6.Bilayati Prasad Singh minor sons of Late Bahso Singh U/g of Baleshwar
- 7. Ramanuj Prasad Singh minor son of Baleshwar Singh U/g of his father and next friend.
- All resident of village- Tilakpur, P.S.- Mananpur, District- Lakhisarai ------ Petitioners

- Versus
 1.RAM CHANDRA SINGH, S/o Late Radhey Singh
- 2. Upendra Singh Minor Son of Late Radhey Singh under guardianship of Shishendra Kumar Advocate G/A
- 3.Arji Devi 4.Kari Devi
- 5.Shivaro Devi
- 6.Kajma Devi

- All D/o Late Radhey Singh 7.Sitaram Singh S/o Late Hira Singh
- 8. Subodh Singh @ Rabindra Singh S/o Late Dina Singh
 9. Bachoo Singh @ Satyendra Singh Minor Son of Late Dina Singh U/G of Sri
 Shishendra Kumar, Advocate
 10. Narain Singh son of Late Dina Singh
- 11.Dazy Kumari
- 12. Bauni Kumari

Both D/o Late Dina Singh

- 13. Jitendra Kumar Minor S/o Late Sitaram Singh under guardianship of Sri Shishendra Kumar, Advocate
- 14.Ram Jivan Singh Minor son of Ramchandra Singh U/G of Shishendra Kumar, Advocate
- 15.Suresh Singh
- 16.Girish Singh
- 17. Mahesh Singh
- 18.Umesh Singh
 - (O.P. No. 15 to 18) All S/o Late Tahal Singh
- 19.Widow of Late Dinesh Singh
- 20.Dharmendra Kumar
- 21Pankaj Kumar

Both son of Late Dinesh Singh

```
22.Ram Dulari Devi, W/o Late Nand Kishor Singh
```

- 23.Widow of Late Bishundeo Singh
- 24.Ramanuj Singh
- 25. Sachita Nand Singh

Both son of Late Bishundeo Singh

- 26.Sitara Devi
- 27.Kalpana Devi

Both daughter of Late Bishundeo Singh

- 28.Ram Sakhi Devi W/o Late Daroga Singh
- 29.Ramashish Kumar
- 30.Sanjay Singh

All S/o Late Daroga Singh

- 31.widow of Late Shushil Singh
- 32. Nush kumar Son of late Shushil Singh
- 33. Renu Devi W/o Late Vijay Singh
- 34.Gunjan Kumar, Minor, Son of Late Vijay Singh under guardianship of Mother Renu Devi
- 35.Jay Narayan Singh, S/o Late Chamo Singh
- 36.Ram Sagar Singh, S/o Late Bisho Singh
- 37. Basuki Singh, S/o Late Jamuna Singh
- 38.Babu Saheb Singh
- 39.Ram Balak Singh, Both son of Late Ayodhya Singh
- 40.Rabo Devi, D/o Late Ayodhya Singh

All O.P. No. 1 to 40 are resident of Village- Tilakpur, P.S.- Mananpur, District- Lakhisarai

----- Opposite Parties.

14 30 .1.2009

I.A. No. 5693 of 2005 has been filed so that M.J.C. No. 889 of 2005 should be heard along with M.J.C. No. 2657 of 2005 and M.J.C. No. 2817 of 2005. The matters are being heard together and as such the Interlocutory Application I.A. No. 5693 of 2005 is allowed.

These present restoration applications arise out of an order passed in First Appeal No. 325 of 1977. It would be relevant to give the gist of the orders passed in First Appeal No. 325 of 1977 which are as follows:-

6.9.1979- The Court granted one week

time to file Talbana for issue of appeal notices on the non appearing respondents 5 to 10 and ordered that if the order was not complied, the entire appeal would stand dismissed without further reference to the bench.

10.1.1980- Time was granted to the appellant to file a restoration application within a period of two weeks.

The restoration application was not filed.

16.1.1981- It was discovered that the certified copy of the decree had certain defects and respondents 11 to 19 were not included in the decree and the decree was sent down to the Trial Court for correction. At the same time, notices were also issued to respondents 11 to 19.

1.9.1981- The order records that the decree had been corrected and the names of respondents 11 to 16 and 18 stood expunged whereas the heirs of deceased respondent no. 19 were added as party. The Court also observed "We also for the time being set aside the abatement".

26.8.1985- The Court finally recorded nobody appears, this appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

M.J.C. No. 25 of 1981 was filed for setting aside the order dated 6.9.1979 whereby the appeal had been dismissed against respondents no. 5 to 10. This M.J.C. was filed on 24.11.1980.

M.J.C. No. 749 of 1985 was filed for setting aside the order dated 26.8.1985 by which order the entire appeal stood dismissed.

The two M.J.C. applications were placed for orders on petition and on 12.9.1986 this Court dismissed the entire appeal.

This First Appeal was listed on 8.8.1985, 12.8.1985, 13.8.1985, 26.8.1985 and finally on 12.9.1986. From the order sheets it is apparent that Sri Madhusudan Singh, Advocate was appearing in this case. From the order sheet i.e. 13.8.1985 Mr. Shashi Bhushan Pathak, Advocate appearing on behalf of the appellant had prayed before the Court that his appearance may be ignored as Mr. Chhote Lal Narayan Singh was to appear in this case. Thereafter nobody appeared on behalf of the

appellant and the appeal stood dismissed against respondent nos. 5 to 10 for non-compliance of order dated 6.9.1979. On 12.9.1986 the matter came up before the Court as to whether the entire appeal had become incompetent as the appeal stood dismissed against the respondents 5 to 10 who were the contesting witnesses, this Court dismissed the First Appeal in its entirety on 12.9.1986.

In the mean time, a Civil Review numbered as 57 of 1989 was also filed for reviewing the order dated 12.9.1986. The Civil 57 of 1989 was also dismissed by this Review Court by order dated 9.5.1991 on the ground that the petitioners had not complied with the order of the Court passed in Civil Review by which they were asked to place the opposite parties 16 to 21 under the guardianship of the Deputy Registrar for which the petitioners had deposit the necessary cost within three The Civil Review was also barred by limitation and as such the Division Bench of this Court held that since the limitation petition against the minor opposite parties was dismissed no effective order could be passed in

WEF

the Civil Review in their absence.

M.J.C. No. 889 of 2005 had been filed to recall the order dated 12.9.1986 by which this Court held that the entire appeal stood dismissed as the suit had already been dismissed against the contesting respondents.

M.J.C. No. 2657 of 2005 has been filed to restore M.J.C. No. 25 of 1981 which was earlier dismissed because it was barred by limitation.

M.J.C. No. 2817 of 2005 has been filed to recall the order dated 9.5.1991 by which the Civil Review 57 of 1989 was dismissed which had been filed against the order dated 12.9.1986 passed in the First Appeal 325 of 1977. M.J.C. No. 889 of 2005 and M.J.C. 2817 of 2005 have been filed basically for recall of order dated 12.9.1986. Both the Interlocutory petitions have been filed to set aside the order dated 12.9.1986.

The ground for recall of the orders dismissing the First Appeal No. 325 of 1977 and order dated 9.5.1991 dismissing the Civil Review 57 of 1989 is that the counsel who was initially engaged in the appeal i.e. late Indra

Bhanu Singh became irregular due to ill health and was replaced by Mr. Madhusudan Singh, Advocate who also became ill. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner appellant that Sri Chhote Lal Narayan Singh, Advocate was not able to inform the appellants regarding the progress of the appeal and the appellant no. 1 could only learn about the result of the appeal in February, 2005. After which the appellant no. 1 has filed the present M.J.C. applications which are under consideration of the Court.

I find that the reasons stated by the appellant for filing the restoration applications whether it be against the order of dismissal dated 12.9.1986 or for recall of order dated 9.5.1991 passed in Civil Review application are not at all tenable in view of the fact that the order sheet of the First that appellants were not rsuing the appeal. After the order of dismissal was passed an application to restore the First Appeal which was numbered as M.J.C. No. 25 of 1981 was filed and when the M.J.C. was dismissed and steps were taken by the appellant to file a Civil Review application

which was also dismissed by this court. Under the circumstances it cannot be held by this Court that the appellant did not have knowledge of the progress of his case and in fact from time to time steps were taken by the appellant to restore his appeal and having failed to do so has now filed M.J.C. No. 889 of 2005, M.J.C. No. 2657 of 2005 and M.J.C. No. 2817 of 2005 for recalling the orders dated 12.9.1986 and 9.5.1991. M.J.C. No. 2657 of 2005 has been filed to restore M.J.C. No. 25 of 1981. court finds that the appellant has not made out grounds for restoration of the First Appeal 325 of 1977 and as such the M.J.C. No. 889 of 2005, M.J.C. No. 2657 of 2005 and M.J.C. No. 2817 of 2005 stand dismissed.

The appellant has also filed substitution petitions numbered as Interlocutory Application No. 2317 of 2006 and Interlocutory Application No. 2606 of 2005 filed in M.J.C. No. 889 of 2005 and Interlocutory Application No. 2607 of 2008 in M.J.C. No. 889 of 2005 for substituting the heirs of the opposite party nos. 15 to 28. Interlocutory Application No. 2607 of 2008 has

been filed in M.J.C. No. 2657 of 2008 for substituting the heirs of opposite parties 28 and 15, Interlocutory Application No. 2317 of 2006 has been filed in M.J.C. No. 2657 of 2005 for substituting the heirs of opposite party no. 16. These Interlocutory Applications are not being considered by this Court as the restoration applications aforesaid have all been dismissed.

Sanjay

(Sheema Ali Khan, J.)

