

\*\*\*\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No.M-17014 of 2009**

**Date of decision : 27.06.2009**

Roopan and another

.....Petitioners

**Versus**

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. D. ANAND**

**Present:** Mr. Kamal Jeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

**S. D. ANAND, J.**

The petitioners have applied for the grant of a direction to respondents no.2 and 3 to protect their lives and liberty as they apprehend danger to their lives at the hands of respondents no.4 and 5.

In support of the averment that they are major, they have placed on record copies of their 10+2 certifications (Annexures P/1 and P/2) as per which the date of birth of the petitioner No.1 (Roopam) is 2.7.1990 and petitioner No.2 (Amit Kumar) is 2.7.1987.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Gaurav Dhir, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3.

Dr. Naresh Kaushik, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent no.4, who is also present Court.

The respondents No. 2 and 3 shall ensure that the petitioners shall have the similar constitutional protection which is available to all the citizens of the country under Article 21 of the Constitution of India. The

**\*\*\*\***

reiteration of that obvious constitutional guarantee would not be inferred to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the averments in the course of the petition qua the factum/validity of their matrimonial alliance.

Respondent no.4 and both the petitioners (in presence of their learned counsel) have made the following statement:-

“It is agreed that the petitioner no.1 (Roopam) shall visit her parents in the company of petitioner no.2 (Amit Kumar) in a day or so. It is assured that her father and other relations of the natal family of petitioner no.1 shall afford safe access to them and there will be no untoward incident at the time of visit.”

In view of the fact that the orders qua protection have already been granted by this Court, no further orders in the context of the statement aforementioned are required to be granted by this Court.

Disposed of accordingly.

**June 27, 2008**  
**Pka**

**(S.D. ANAND)**  
**JUDGE**