

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.3293 of 2008
Date of Decision:- 30.05.2008**

Pawan Kumar JainPetitioner(s)
through
Mr.Ghulam Nabi Malik, Advocate
vs.

Vinod Kumar Jain and othersRespondent(s)

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in this revision petition is to direct the Appellate Authority, Sangrur to decide the stay application moved by the petitioner along with Appeal No.6 dated 12.3.2008.

It appears that an eviction order has been passed by the Rent Controller, Malerkotla against the petitioner.

Aggrieved, the petitioner has preferred Appeal No.6 dated 12.3.2008 before the Appellate Authority at Sangrur. While the appeal has been adjourned to 22.7.2008 without granting any stay in favour of the petitioner, the execution petition is stated to be fixed on 13.6.2008.

The petitioner, thus, apprehends that even before his appeal would be taken up for hearing, he is likely to be dispossessed in execution of the eviction order.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and having

regard to the above-noticed nature of urgency, the Appellate Authority at Sangrur, is directed to decide the stay application moved by the petitioner along with his appeal before 13.6.2008, in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

Dasti.

May 30, 2008
poonam

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE