

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND EIGHT

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA
WRIT PETITION NO : 9818 of 2008

Between:

J. Ganesh Singh, S/o. Dadaram Singh,
R/o. 64-43/B6, Fort, Kurnool, Kurnool District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

- 1 The District Collector, Kurnool, Kurnool District.
- 2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kurnool, Kurnool District.
- 3 The Tahsildar, Kalluru Mandal, Kurnool District.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents 1 to 3 in not considering the application of the petitioner dated 1-8-2005 filed for mutation of his name in revenue records and in pahanies/adangals in respect of the land Admeasuring Ac.1.96 cents in Sy.No. 770/C2, Situated at Kallur Village and Mandal, Kurnool District as illegal, arbitrary, unjust and inviolation of principles of natural justice, and consequently direct the respondents to mutate the name of the petitioner in respect of the above lands and issue pahanies/adangals of that effect.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.J.U.M.V.PRASAD

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

The petitioner claims to be the absolute owner and possessor of the land admeasuring Ac.1.96 cents in Sy.No.770/C2 situated at Kalluru Village and Mandal, Kurnool District. Since the District Collector has raised a dispute that the said land is a railway poramboke, he filed a suit in O.S.No.63 of 1976 and also filed O.S.No.83 of 1977 for declaration of their title and for injunction. Ultimately, vide the judgment dated 10.11.1989 in A.S.No.1244 of 1981 and Tr.A.S.No.389 of 1985 this Court held that the said lands are private lands and that the petitioners perfected their title by adverse possession and granted injunction against the respondents. Pursuant to the said judgment, it is stated that the petitioner made an application on 1.8.2005 requesting the respondents to enter his name in the revenue records and to issue the relevant pahanies/adangals after mutation, in vein. Hence, he filed the present writ petition .

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Pleader for Revenue.

Since the only request made by the petitioner is consideration of his representation, without going into the merits of the matter, it is agreed by both the learned counsel to dispose of the writ petition directing the Mandal Revenue Officer – 3rd respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner in the light of the judgment of this court and pass appropriate orders on the said representation expeditiously.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

N.V.RAMANA,J

DT.30.4.2008

msv

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

- 1 The District Collector, Kurnool, Kurnool District.
- 2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kurnool, Kurnool District.
- 3 The Tahsildar, Kalluru Mandal, Kurnool District.
- 4 2CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court of A.P. Hyd (OUT)
- 5 2CD copies