## IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM **PRESENT:**

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC THURSDAY, THE 31ST JANUARY 2008 / 11TH MAGHA 1929

WP(C).No. 27921 of 2007(Y)

**PETITIONER:** 

FOREST INDUSTRIES (TRAVANCORE) LTD., THAIKKATTUKARA P.O., ALUVA-683106, REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR, DR.S. SHANAVAS.

**BY ADV. SRI.A.KUMAR** 

## **RESPONDENTS:**

-----

- 1. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER (ASSMT.), SPECIAL CIRCLE, COMMERCIAL TAXES, MATTANCHERRY, ALUVA.
- 2. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVT.PLEADER SRI.C.K.GOVINDAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 31/01/2008, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE **FOLLOWING:** 

## 

## Dated this the 31<sup>st</sup> day of January, 2008 J U D G M E N T

The petitioner, a Government Company, has been served Ext.P1 order of assessment under the KGST Act. Petitioner contends that substantial amounts are due from several Government Departments and if such payments are released, it will be in a favourable position to discharge the entire liability. Since payment has not been forthcoming, petitioner submits that they have submitted Ext.P4 representation to the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent requesting that the amounts due from the various Government Departments and other establishments to the petitioner may be adjusted towards their liability that has arisen under Ext.P1.

2. As contended by the learned Government Pleader, in the KGST Act, there is no statutory provision for this kind of a request that is now made by the petitioner. But however, having regard to the fact that the petitioner being a Government company claiming that substantial amounts are due from Government Departments has submitted Ext.P4 to the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, I direct that the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent shall consider Ext.P4 with notice to the petitioner. This shall be done as expeditiously as possible. In so far as the recovery pursuant to Ext.P1 order of assessment is concerned, I clarify that it will be open to the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent to consider whether the recovery should continue pending decision on Ext.P4 representation.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp