

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY:

NAGPUR BENCH: NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.352/2008

Suraj Tiwari ..vs.. State & another

=====

CORAM : S.R. DONGAONKAR, J.

DATE: 30.1.2008

Heard Mr. Darda, Advocate for the applicant, Mr. Yengal, A.P.P. for respondent no. 1-State & Mr. Kulkarni, Advocate for respondent no.2.

The petitioner is absent. However, his counsel is present. The respondent no.2 is present in person along with her counsel. She states that she has no objection to allow the petition for quashing the FIR and other proceedings arising out of her report for the offences under section 498-A, 506-B, read with section 34 of I.P.C. and section 3 & 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act 1961 vide FIR No.234/2007.

In view of the memorandum of understanding arrived at between the parties, for allowing them to lead peaceful future life, the learned APP has no objection, if the petition is allowed. The proceeding and the FIR for the offences under section 498-A, 506-B, read with section 34 of I.P.C. and section 3 & 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act 1961 vide FIR No.234/2007, filed at the instance of respondent no.2 is hereby quashed and set aside.

For the reasons state above petition

stands allowed.

JUDGE

smp.