IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

O.O.C.J.

SUIT No.374 of 1991

M.T.N.L. ..Plaintiff. Vs. H. S. R. Johnson (India) Ltd. ..Defendant. Ms Krupa Shirke i/b Gordhandas & Fozdar, Advocate for Plaintiff. Mr Vishal Kanade i/b Cr. Bayley & Co., Advocate for the Defendant. ALONGWITH SUIT No.403 of 1991 M.T.N.L. ..Plaintiff. Vs. ..Defendant. Harsora Govindbhai Kurjbhai. Mr Abhay Sharma i/b T.S. Tendulkar, Advocate for the plaintiff. ALONGWITH SUIT No.506 of 1991 M.T.N.L. ..Plaintiff. Vs. Mrs Neelam B. Navaney. ..Defendant. Mr V. Narayanan, advocate for the plaintiff. None for the defendant, ALONGWITH SUIT No.507 of 1991 M.T.N.L. ..Plaintiff. Vs.

Barbir Singh Batra & Anr.		Defendants
Mr V.Narayanan, advocate for	the plaintiff.	
None for the Defendant.		
SU	ALONGWITH IT No.625 of 1991	
M.T.N.L.	Vs.	Plaintiff.
Smt. Bharati Kishore Thakkor & Anr.		Defendants.
Ms S. I. Shah i/b S. I. Shah & OPlaintiff.	Co. for the	
SU	ALONGWITH IT No.727 of 1991	
M.T.N.L.		Plaintiff.
	Vs.	
Arjun Hundraj Bajaj		Defendant.
Ms J. R. Thakker, Advocate for	the Plaintiff.	
Mr S.J. Khera, Advocate for the	e Defendants.	
SU	ALONGWITH IT No.730 of 1991	
M.T.N.L.		Plaintiff.
	Vs.	
Basant B. Bhamka.		Defendant.
Mr V. V. Shinde i/b Shukla & plaintiff.	Associates for the	
None for the defendant.		
SU	ALONGWITH IT No.978 of 1991	
M.T.N.L.		Plaintiff.
	Vs.	
Nitin Hemchand		Defendant.

Mr V. V. Shinde i/b Shukla & Associates for the

plaintiff. None for the defendant. **ALONGWITH** SUIT No.1096 of 1991 M.T.N.L. ..Plaintiff. Vs. M/s Rank Industries. ..Defendant. Ms J. R. Thakker, Advocate for the plaintiff. None for the defendant. ALONGWITH SUIT No.1140 of 1991 M.T.N.L. ..Plaintiff. Vs. Kishore T. Rajpal. ..Defendant. Mr Abhay Sharma i/b J.S. Tendulkar, & Associates for the Plaintiff. None for the defendant. ALONGWITH SUIT No.1141 of 1991 M.T.N.L. ..Plaintiff. Vs. Ecchman Gulabrai Babu. ..Plaintiff. Mr Abhay Sharma i/b T.S. Tendolkar, Advocate for the plaintiff. None for the Defendant. ALONGWITH SUIT No.1226 of 1991 M.T.N.L. ..Plaintiff.

Vs. Mohamed Husheer Ambalal Pally. ..Defendant. Ms Krupa Shirke i/b Gordhandas & Fozdar, Advocate for the Plaintiff. None for the Defendant. ALONGWITH SUIT No.1230 of 1991 M.T.N.L. ..Plaintiff. Vs. ..Defendant. Rajeshkumar Mehta Ms Krupa Shireke i/b Gordhandas & Fozdar, Advocate for the Plaintiff. None for the Defendant. ALONGWITH SUIT No.1330 of 1991 M.T.N.L. ..Plaintiff. Vs. Navinchandra Mehta. ..Defendant. Mr V. V. Shinde i/b Shukla & Associates, Advocate for the Plaintiff. None for the Defendant. ALONGWITH SUIT No.2778 of 1991 M.T.N.L. ..Plaintiff.

Vs.

Mr M. Shafee A Khuddus ..Defendant.

Ms S.I. Shah i/b Asha, Advocate for the plaintiff.

Mr Suresh Gole, Advocate for the Defendant.

ALONGWITH

SUIT No.3196 of 1991

M.T.N.L. ..Plaintiff. Vs. ..Defendant. M/s Salgaonkar Engineers Ms S.I. Shah i/b Asha, Advocate for the plaintiff. None for the Defendant. ALONGWITH SUIT No.3056 of 1991 M.T.N.L. ..Plaintiff. Vs. Mrs Arwa Murtaza Wapani & Anr. ..Defendants. Ms Krupa Shirke i/b Gordhandas & Fozdar, Advocate for the Plaintiff. ALONGWITH SUIT No.3113 of 1991 ..Plaintiff. M.T.N.L. Vs. Mrs Savitri Jagasia ..Defendant. Mr Abhay Sharma i/b T.S. Tendulkar, Advocate for the plaintiff. None for the Defendant. ALONGWITH SUIT No.3190 of 1991 M.T.N.L. ..Plaintiff. Vs. Hirji Nansey and Co. ..Defendant. Ms J. K. Thakker, Advocate for the Plaintiff.

None for the Defendant.

ALONGWITH **SUIT No.1876 of 1991**

M.T.N.L.		Plaintiff.		
	Vs.			
Mr Zubair Gulam Kadir SurtiDefendant.				
Ms J. R. Thakker, Advocate for the Plaintiff.				
None for the Defendant.				
	ALONGWITH SUIT No.1585 of 1991			
M.T.N.L.		Plaintiff.		
	Vs.			
Hirji Nansey and Co.		Defendant.		
Ms J. K. Thakker, Advocate for the Plaintiff.				
None for the Defendant.				
	ALONGWITH SUIT No.1586 of 1991			
M.T.N.L.		Plaintiff.		
	Vs.			
Narain and Radio CentreDefendant.				
Mr Abhay Sharma i/b T.S. Tendolkar Associates Advocate for the Plaintiff.				
None for the Defendant.				
	ALONGWITH SUIT No.1587 of 1991			
M.T.N.L.		Plaintiff.		
	Vs.			
Smt Firoza Sultan		Defendant.		

Ms J. R. Thakker, Advocate for the	e Plaintiff.			
None for the Defendant.				
SUIT	ALONGWITH SUIT No.1697 of 1991			
M.T.N.L.		Plaintiff.		
	Vs.			
Ajitkumar Jayantilal		Defendant		
Mr Abhay Sharma i/b T. S. Tendulkar & Associates for the Plaintiff.				
None for the Defendant.				
SUIT	ALONGWITH No.1844 of 1991			
M.T.N.L.		Plaintiff.		
	Vs.			
Sharadchandra Mohanlal Shah & Ors.		Defendants.		
Mr V. V. Shinde i/b Shukla & Ass plaintiff.	ociates for the			
Mr K. H. Mody i/b R.C. Shah, A defendant.	Advocate for the			
	AAM: V. C. DAGA ED: 31.01.2008.	A, J.		

P.C.:-

- 1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
- 2. Perused the plaint.

3. The present suits have been filed by the

plaintiff-M.T.N.L. for recovery of amount due and payable by the defendants under different telephone bills. The defendants failed pay the demanded by the plaintiff amount so per telephone defendants the said bills, as the contested the demand being excessive As the one. defendants have failed to pay the amount, the plaintiff had institute the no option but to present suits for recovery of the amount under the suit bills.

4. In view of the mandate of Section 7-B of

expounded the Act as by the Apex Court in the Manager, case of Telecom **District** Goa and Ors vs. V.S. A.I.R.1996 S.C. Dempo Co. and Ors, 1545, appropriate is that the matter is referred arbitration. The to reference to arbitration is for determination of dispute under Section 7-B of the Act, which is a statutory remedy.

5. The Central Government shall notify the

present arbitrator before whom the disputes will tried decided be and in arbitration. The plaintiff intimate competent Authority of the Central immediate Government to take steps to notify the arbitrator in terms of Section 7B of the Act. Such notification shall be issued within eight weeks from today.

- 6. The Arbitrator so appointed shall conclude expeditiously the arbitration proceedings as as possible than and in later six any case, not months from the date he enters upon arbitration or the parties are expected to make first apperances before him, whichever is earlier.
- 7. It is made clear that all issues raised in
 the present suits at the instance of either side
 shall be considered on merits in accordance with
 law in the arbitration proceedings.
- Needless to mention that Arbitrator would 8. adjudicate also be entitled upon to counter-claim. if The parties shall also be any. at liberty to file their rival pleadings if already not filed.
- 9. All Suits are disposed of in the above terms. Refund as per rules.
- 10. The learned counsel for the plaintiff
 assures that intimation will be given to the

Advocate for all the defendants, as well as the plaintiff forthwith about the order passed today in this suit.

(V.C. DAGA,J)