

Vs.

Mr. R.S. Deshpande, Advocate for Applicant.
Mr. J.P. Yagnik, APP for respondent.

P.C.

1. Not on board. Mentioned.
2. This application is for grant of anticipatory bail. The notice of the application is served upon the respondent. Heard both the learned Advocates. The applicant is accused of the offence punishable under Section 420 r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code of having deceived one Dhanraj Unecha. The daughter of Dhanraj Unecha was engaged to marry the nephew of the applicant, one Nachiket. It appears that after the engagement, Dhanraj learnt that Nachiket was already married. Therefore, he filed a complaint against Nachiket, his parents, applicant herein and many others for knowingly entering into talks with the complainant for marriage of

his daughter and thereby putting the complainant to loss. The applicant had filed an application for anticipatory bail before Sessions Court, Pune which was rejected by the order dated 26th December, 2008.

3. Considering the facts of the case, it is difficult to accept that the facts make out case under Section 420 of Indian Penal Code. Hence, the applicant is entitled to anticipatory bail in C.R. No.584 of 2008 of Pimpri (Pune) Police Station under Section 420 r/w 34 of Indian Penal Code. In the event of his arrest in the case, the applicant be released on executing P.R. Bond of Rs.5,000/-. At the request of learned APP, it is directed that the applicant to attend Pimpri Police Station on 6th January 2009 during 10 to 11 am.

4. On the request of the learned counsel for the applicant, it is directed that copy of this order duly authenticated by the P.A. be furnished to him.

(SMT.R.P. SONDURBALDOTA,J)