

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3469 OF 2008
IN
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO.125 OF 2008
IN
M.J.PETITION NO.D-17 OF 2007

Mrs.Shivani Sidhu .. Petitioner

V/s.

Mr.B.S.Sidhu .. Respondent

Mr.Sanjay Jain, Sandhya Jain, Dinesh Jain i/b.L.J.Law
for the Petitioner.

CORAM : S.S.SHINDE, J.

(VACATION JUDGE)

DATE : MAY, 29TH, 2008.

P.C.

. Mentioned, not on board.

2. The Advocate appearing for the Petitioner mentioned this matter for speaking to minutes. According to the Petitioner in paragraph 3, word "not" is mentioned in 4th line before the words 'be appropriate'.

3. After hearing the Advocate for the Petitioner it, is true that the word "not" has been inadvertently typed in 4th line of paragraph 3 of the order. The paragraph three of the order dated 28th May, 2008 is substituted by following paragraph. Now paragraph 3 will be read as under :

" In aforesaid application the Family Court has already issued notice on 22nd May, 2008 to the Respondent. Since the matter is posted for hearing on 31st May, 2008 before the Family Court, it would be appropriate that the Family Court should consider the prayer (b) of the Petitioner in Misc.Application No.125 of 2008 in accordance with law."

(S.S.SHINDE,J.)