

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD****SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 4822 of 2006****For Approval and Signature:****HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE BHAGWATI PRASAD**

=====

=

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

=====

=

**MANILAL HAMIRBHAI JOSHI****Versus****STATE OF GUJARAT AND OTHERS**

=====

**=Appearance :**

MR BHUNESH C RUPERA for the Petitioner

MR SP HASURKAR, ASSTT GOVERNMENT PLEADER for the Respondents

=====

=

**CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE BHAGWATI PRASAD****Date : 30/04/2008****ORAL JUDGMENT**

The petitioner is a casual employee. Admittedly, he has not served in the past for more than 4 hours. Since he has been serving for last 26 years, he may represent to the Department. If his hours can be increased, he can be suitably accommodated because he is there for 26 years. This is one of the valid considerations which

may weigh with the respondents. His representation may be considered objectively, if he files the same.

With the aforesaid directions, the petition is disposed of. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent.

Direct service is permitted.

**(BHAGWATI PRASAD, J.)**

omkar