



10/12/08

27

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<u>W.P. (s) No. 7082/2008</u>	
	<p><b>PETITIONER</b> : Manharan Lal Pandey, S/o Late Shri Laxmiprasad Pandey, Aged about 65 years, Retired Head Master, R/o Village &amp; Post Jarwe, Tehsil-Baluda, District-Janjgir-Champa (CG)</p>	
	<u>Versus</u>	
	<p><b>RESPONDENTS</b> 1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Department of School Education, DKS Bhawan, Raipur (CG)</p> <p>2. Director, Department of School Education, Raipur (CG)</p> <p>3. District Education Officer, District Janjgir-Champa (CG)</p> <p>4. Joint Director, Treasury, Account &amp; Pension, Bilaspur (CG)</p> <p>5. Block Education Officer, Baluda, District-Janjgir-Champa (CG)</p>	
	<u>Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India</u>	
	<u>S.B Hon'ble Shri Dharendra Mishra, J</u>	
	<u>19.12.2008</u>	
	<p>Mr. Satyendra Sahu, learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava, learned Panel Lawyer for the State.</p> <p>The petitioner retired on 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2003 while working as Headmaster in Govt. Boys' Primary School, Naila, Distt. Janjgir-Champa. He was entitled for 2<sup>nd</sup> upgradation after completion of 24 years of service, however, the respondents without giving 2<sup>nd</sup> upgradation to the petitioner retired him on 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2003 and fixed his pension on the basis of last drawn pay.</p>	



28

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबन्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश 2	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per policy of the State Govt. the petitioner was entitled for 2<sup>nd</sup> upgradation after completion of 24 years of service. He submits that he is not pressing this petition at this stage, however, he may be permitted to make a fresh representation for redressal of his grievance before the respondents No.3 &amp; 4, who may be further directed to process his representation and decide the same within a specified period.</p> <p>Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava, learned PL appearing for the State submits that in case the petitioner files fresh representation for redressal of his grievance before the respondents No. 3 &amp; 4, the same shall be processed and decided expeditiously in accordance with law.</p> <p>In view of above submissions of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file a fresh representation before the respondents No. 3 &amp; 4 for redressal of his grievances raised in this petition. On such representation being made, the respondents No. 3 &amp; 4 shall process and decide the same expeditiously in accordance with law.</p>	<div>Sd/- Dhirendra Mishra Judge</div>