



XI-HC-78

22/12/08

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
		<b><u>W.P. (S) No.7067/2008</u></b>
	<b><u>PETITIONER</u></b>	Smt. Bharti, wife of Shri Chandrakant Dewangan, aged about 42 years, R/o. C/o. Chandmal Sonwani, Near Krishi Upaj Mandi, Annapurnapara, Kanker C(G)
		<b><u>Versus</u></b>
	<b><u>RESPONDENTS</u></b>	1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary Department of Panchayat Evam Gramin Vikas Vibhag, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (CG) 2. Collector, Distt- Kanker (CG) 3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Kanker (CG)
		<b><u>WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA</u></b>
	<b><u>SB: HON. SHRI DHIRENDRA MISHRA, J.</u></b>	
	<b><u>19-12-2008</u></b>	
	Shri Vinay Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Arun Sao, Govt. Advocate for the State. Heard.	
	Grievance of the petitioner is that for recruitment of 4 posts of Shiksha Karmi Grade-I under the OBC category for subject 'Hindi', vacancy was advertised by the respondent No.3 vide Annexure P-4. Out of the above 4 posts, 1 was reserved for female candidate. The Chief Executive Officer, District Panchayat, North Bastar, Kanker vide memorandum of Annexure P-5, dated 16 <sup>th</sup> June 2008, informed the petitioner that her name has been included in the merit list and she was called upon to report on 25 <sup>th</sup> June 2008 along with necessary	



XI-HC-78

32

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबन्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p>testimonials for its verification. She appeared on the given date, her testimonials were found in order after verification. However, thereafter, she has not received any communication regarding her appointment.</p> <p>The petitioner has already submitted her representation of Annexure P-6, dated 14.7.2008 in this regard. However, her representation has also not been decided till date.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the State submits that in case the petitioner files a fresh representation for redressal of her grievances, raised in this writ petition, before the respondent No.3 along with copy of this order, within a period of one week from today, the same shall be considered and decided within a further period of three weeks from the date of receipt of the representation.</p> <p>In view of the above submission of learned counsel for the State, this petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh representation for redressal of her grievances before the respondent No.3 along with a copy of this order within a week from today. On such representation being made, the same shall be considered and decided by the respondent No.3 in accordance with law on its own merits within a further period of three weeks from the date of receipt of the representation.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- Dhirendra Mishra Judge</p>